

The Inversion Symmetry of the WDVV Equations and Tau Functions

Si-Qi Liu, Dingdian Xu, Youjin Zhang

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University
Beijing 100084, P. R. China

Dedicated to Boris Dubrovin on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Abstract

For two solutions of the WDVV equations that are related by the inversion symmetry, we show that the associated principal hierarchies of integrable systems are related by a reciprocal transformation, and the tau functions of the hierarchies are related by a Legendre type transformation. We also consider relationships between the Virasoro constraints and the topological deformations of the principal hierarchies.

1 Introduction

The Witten-Dijkgraaf-Verlinde-Verlinde (WDVV) equations, which arise in the study of 2D topological field theory (TFT) in the beginning of 90's of the last century, are given by the following system of PDEs for an analytic function $F = F(v^1, \dots, v^n)$:

- i) The variable v^1 is specified so that

$$\eta_{\alpha\beta} := \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial v^1 \partial v^\alpha \partial v^\beta} = \text{constant}, \quad \det(\eta_{\alpha\beta}) \neq 0; \quad (1.1)$$

- ii) The functions $c_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha := \eta^{\alpha\nu} c_{\nu\beta\gamma}$ with

$$c_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial v^\alpha \partial v^\beta \partial v^\gamma}, \quad (\eta^{\alpha\beta}) = (\eta_{\alpha\beta})^{-1} \quad (1.2)$$

yield the structure constants of an associative algebra for any fixed $v = (v^1, \dots, v^n)$, i.e, they satisfy

$$c_{\alpha\beta}^\lambda c_{\lambda\gamma}^\nu = c_{\gamma\beta}^\lambda c_{\lambda\alpha}^\nu, \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \nu \leq n. \quad (1.3)$$

Here and in what follows summation with respect to repeated upper and lower indices is assumed.

In [6, 7] Dubrovin formulated the WDVV equations with an additional quasi-homogeneity condition on F , we will recall this condition in Sec. 4 and call a solution of (1.1)–(1.3) satisfying the quasi-homogeneous condition a conformal solution of the WDVV equations. These equations together with the quasi-homogeneity condition are satisfied by the primary free energy F of the matter sector of a 2D TFT with n primary fields as a function of the coupling constants [3, 4, 31]. In [6, 7] Dubrovin reformulated these equations in a coordinate free form by introducing the notion of Frobenius manifold structure on the space of the parameters v^1, \dots, v^n and revealed significantly rich geometric structures of the WDVV equations, which have become important in the study of several different areas of mathematical research, including the theory of Gromov - Witten invariants, singularity theory and nonlinear integrable systems, see [7, 11, 12, 14] and references therein. In particular, such geometrical structures enable one to associate a solution of the WDVV equations with a hierarchy of bihamiltonian integrable systems of hydrodynamic type which is called the principal hierarchy in [14]. This hierarchy of integrable systems plays important role in the procedure of reconstructing a 2D TFT from its primary free energy as a solution of the WDVV equations. In this construction, the tau function that corresponds to a particular solution of the principal hierarchy serves as the genus zero partition function, and the full genera partition function of the 2D TFT is a particular tau function of an integrable hierarchy of evolutionary PDEs of KdV type which is certain deformation of the principal hierarchy, such a deformation of the principal hierarchy is call the *topological deformation* [14].

In this paper we are to interpret certain discrete symmetry of the WDVV equations in term of the associated principal hierarchy and its tau function. The discrete symmetry we consider here was given by Dubrovin in Appendix B of [7] and is called the inversion symmetry. This symmetry is obtained from a special Schlesinger transformation of the system of linear ODEs with rational coefficients associated to the WDVV equations (see Remark 4.2 of [8] for details). It turns out that in terms of the principal hierarchy and its tau function the inversion symmetry has a simple interpretation. On the principal hierarchy it acts as certain reciprocal transformation, and on the

associated tau function it acts as a Legendre type transformation. In Appendix B of [7] there is given another class of discrete symmetries of the WDVV equations which are called the Legendre-type transformations or the type I symmetries, the relation of such symmetries with the principal hierarchy and its tau function is given in [14]. Besides these discrete symmetries, the WDVV equations also possess continuous symmetries whose Lie algebra of infinitesimal generators (without the quasi-homogeneity condition) was studied in [2, 16, 19, 20, 21].

Recall [7] that a symmetry of the WDVV equations is given by a transformation

$$v^\alpha \mapsto \hat{v}^\alpha, \quad \eta_{\alpha\beta} \mapsto \hat{\eta}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad F \mapsto \hat{F} \quad (1.4)$$

that preserves the WDVV equations. The inversion symmetry given in [7] has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{v}^1 &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_{\alpha\beta} v^\alpha v^\beta}{v^n}, \quad \hat{v}^i = \frac{v^i}{v^n} \quad (i = 2, \dots, n-1), \quad \hat{v}^n = -\frac{1}{v^n}, \\ \hat{\eta}_{\alpha\beta} &= \eta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \hat{F}(\hat{v}) = (v^n)^{-2} \left(F(v) - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta} v^1 v^\alpha v^\beta \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

Here we assume that $\eta_{11} = 0$ and the coordinates v^1, \dots, v^n are normalized such that the constants $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ take the values

$$\eta_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha+\beta, n+1}. \quad (1.6)$$

This can always be achieved by performing an invertible linear transformation

$$v^1 \mapsto \tilde{v}^1 = v^1 + \sum_{i=2}^n b_i v^i, \quad v^j \mapsto \tilde{v}^j = \sum_{i=2}^n a_i^j v^i \quad (j = 2, \dots, n),$$

where $b_i, a_i^j \in \mathbb{C}$. We call the solution $\hat{F}(\hat{v})$ of the WDVV equations (1.1)–(1.3) the inversion of the solution $F(v)$.

The content of the paper is arranged as follows. We first recall in Sec. 2 the definition of the principal hierarchy and its tau functions associated to a calibrated solution of the WDVV equations. We then show in Sec. 3 that the action of the inversion symmetry of the WDVV equations on principal hierarchies is given by certain reciprocal transformation, and we give the transformation rule of the associated tau functions, see Propositions 3.2 and 3.3. In Sec. 4 we impose the conformal condition on solutions of the WDVV equations and consider the transformation rule of the inversion symmetry on principal hierarchies and their bihamiltonian structures. These results will be used when we study the topological deformations of principal hierarchies.

In Sec. 5 we consider transformation rule of the Virasoro constraints for tau functions of the principal hierarchies. In Sec. 6 we consider the action of the inversion symmetry on topological deformations of the principal hierarchies and their tau functions.

The present paper is a rewritten of an early preprint [29]. We omit the content associated to the type I symmetries of the WDVV equations, and refine presentations of the results given there. The main new content is the proof of Conjecture 6.1 of [29].

2 Calibrations, Principal Hierarchies, and Tau Functions

The notion of calibrations of a solution of the WDVV equations (or a Frobenius manifold) corresponds to the choice of a system of deformed flat coordinates on a Frobenius manifold [7], it was first introduced in [18] and then modified in [2]. In what follows we use the modified one.

It is well-known that the system of WDVV equations is equivalent to the flatness of the deformed flat connection (see [7] for details):

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X(z)Y = \nabla_X Y + z X \cdot Y,$$

where X, Y are vector fields on the Frobenius manifold M , ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric (η_{ij}) , and z is an arbitrary nonzero complex number.

The flatness of the deformed connection implies the existence of deformed flat coordinates, so the following equation

$$\tilde{\nabla} d\tilde{v}(v, z) = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

has n linearly independent solutions $\tilde{v}^1(v, z), \dots, \tilde{v}^n(v, z)$ which are analytic at $z = 0$. We denote them by

$$\tilde{v}^\alpha(v, z) = \eta^{\alpha\nu} \theta_\nu(z) = \eta^{\alpha\nu} \sum_{p \geq 0} \theta_{\nu,p}(v) z^p,$$

then the equation (2.1) implies that

$$\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \theta_\nu(z) = z c_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \partial_\gamma \theta_\nu(z), \quad \partial_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial v^\alpha}, \quad \alpha, \beta, \nu = 1, \dots, n. \tag{2.2}$$

Solutions to the above equations are not unique, most of the results given below in this section hold true only for those solutions that are normalized by certain conditions coming from topological field theories. These carefully chosen solutions are called calibrations of the Frobenius manifolds M .

Definition 2.1 Let $F(v)$ be a solution of the WDVV equations, a family of functions

$$\{\theta_{\alpha,p}(v) \mid \alpha = 1, \dots, n; p = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is called a calibration of $F(v)$ if their generating functions

$$\theta_\alpha(z) = \sum_{p \geq 0} \theta_{\alpha,p}(v) z^p$$

satisfy the equations (2.2) and the normalization conditions

$$\theta_\alpha(0) = v_\alpha := \eta_{\alpha\beta} v^\beta, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\partial_\mu \theta_\alpha(z) \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \theta_\beta(-z) = \eta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\theta_{\alpha,1}(v) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial v^\alpha}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\partial_1 \theta_\alpha(z) = z \theta_\alpha(z) + \eta_{1\alpha}. \quad (2.6)$$

The solution $F(v)$ together with a calibration $\{\theta_{\alpha,p}(v)\}$ is called a calibrated solution of the WDVV equations.

Let $(F(v), \{\theta_{\alpha,p}(v)\})$ be a calibrated solution of the WDVV equations, we introduce a hierarchy of evolutionary PDEs of hydrodynamic type:

$$\frac{\partial v^\gamma}{\partial t^{\alpha,p}} = \eta^{\gamma\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial \theta_{\alpha,p+1}}{\partial v^\beta} \right), \quad \alpha, \gamma = 1, \dots, n, p \geq 0. \quad (2.7)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\frac{\partial v^\gamma}{\partial t^{1,0}} = \frac{\partial v^\gamma}{\partial x},$$

so in what follows we identify $t^{1,0}$ with x . By using the WDVV equations one can prove the following results:

- i) Each flow $\partial_{\alpha,p}$ possesses a Hamiltonian formalism with the Hamiltonian operator $P_1 = (P_1^{\alpha\beta}) = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_x$ and Hamiltonian $H_{\alpha,p}[v] = \int \theta_{\alpha,p+1}(v) dx$;
- ii) $\{H_{\alpha,p}, H_{\beta,q}\}_1 = 0$, where $\{, \}_1$ is the Poisson bracket defined by

$$\{H_1, H_2\}_1 = \int \frac{\delta H_1}{\delta v^\alpha} P_1^{\alpha\beta} \left(\frac{\delta H_2}{\delta v^\beta} \right) dx; \quad (2.8)$$

- iii) Denote $\partial_{\alpha,p} := \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\alpha,p}}$, then $\partial_{\beta,q} \theta_{\alpha,p}(v) = \partial_{\alpha,p} \theta_{\beta,q}(v)$.

The second property ii) also implies

$$[\partial_{\alpha,p}, \partial_{\beta,q}] = 0, \quad \partial_{\alpha,p} H_{\beta,q} = 0,$$

which are easy corollaries of the properties of Poisson brackets.

Definition 2.2 *The hierarchy (2.7) of integrable evolutionary PDEs is called the principal hierarchy associated to the calibration $\{\theta_{\alpha,p}(v)\}$.*

Remark 2.3 *The notion of principal hierarchy was introduced in [14] for Frobenius manifolds associated to conformal solutions of the WDVV equations, in this case calibrations are given by the deformed flat coordinates of the Frobenius manifolds [7], see Sec. 4 below.*

Now we are to define tau functions of the principal hierarchy as it is done in [7, 14]. First we recall the definition [7] of the family of functions

$$\{\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}(v) \mid \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n; p, q = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

by the following generating functions

$$\sum_{p,q \geq 0} \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}(v) z^p w^q = \frac{\partial_\mu \theta_\alpha(z) \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \theta_\beta(w) - \eta_{\alpha\beta}}{z + w}. \quad (2.9)$$

Then one can prove that

$$\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q} = \Omega_{\beta,q;\alpha,p}, \quad \partial_{\gamma,s} \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q} = \partial_{\alpha,p} \Omega_{\gamma,s;\beta,q}, \quad (2.10)$$

which imply that if $v^\alpha(t)$ is a solution of the principal hierarchy associated to certain calibration (here we use t to denote all the time variables $t^{\alpha,p}$ of the principal hierarchy), then there exists a function $f(t)$ such that

$$\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}(v(t)) = \partial_{\alpha,p} \partial_{\beta,q} f(t).$$

In particular, we have $\theta_{\alpha,p}(v(t)) = \Omega_{1,0;\alpha,p}(v(t)) = \partial_{1,0} \partial_{\alpha,p} f(t)$.

Definition 2.4 *Let $(F(v), \{\theta_{\alpha,p}(v)\})$ be a calibrated solution of the WDVV equations, $\{\partial_{\alpha,p}\}$ be the associated principal hierarchy. A function $\tau(t)$ is called a tau function of the principal hierarchy if*

$$\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}(v(t)) = \partial_{\alpha,p} \partial_{\beta,q} \log \tau(t), \quad (2.11)$$

where $v^\alpha(t) = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{1,0} \partial_{\beta,0} \log \tau(t)$.

Note that if $\tau(t)$ is a tau function of the principal hierarchy, then $v^\alpha(t) = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{1,0} \partial_{\beta,0} \log \tau(t)$ is a solution of the principal hierarchy. Indeed, by using the property of the functions $\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}$ [7]

$$\partial_\xi \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q} = \frac{\partial \theta_{\alpha,p}}{\partial v^\sigma} \frac{\partial \theta_{\beta,q}}{\partial v^\lambda} c_\xi^{\sigma\lambda}, \quad c_\xi^{\sigma\lambda} = \eta^{\sigma\gamma} c_{\gamma\xi}^\lambda \quad (2.12)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v^\alpha(t)}{\partial t^{\beta,q}} &= \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \partial_{1,0} \partial_{\gamma,0} \partial_{\beta,q} \log \tau(t) = \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \partial_{1,0} \Omega_{\gamma,0;\beta,q}(v(t)) \\ &= \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \frac{\partial \Omega_{\gamma,0;\beta,q}}{\partial v^\xi} v_x^\xi(t) = \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \frac{\partial \theta_{\beta,q}}{\partial v^\lambda} c_{\gamma\xi}^\lambda v_x^\xi(t) \\ &= \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \partial_x \frac{\partial \theta_{\beta,q+1}}{\partial v^\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the above argument shows that a solution of the principal hierarchy also defines a tau function.

3 The Actions of the Inversion Symmetry

In this section, we study the actions of the inversion symmetry on calibrations, principal hierarchies, and tau functions of a solution of the WDVV equations. We fix a pair of solutions $F(v), \hat{F}(\hat{v})$ of the WDVV equations that are related by the transformation (1.5).

Proposition 3.1 *Let $\{\theta_{\alpha,p}(v)\}$ be a calibration of $F(v)$, then the following functions*

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta}_{1,0}(\hat{v}) &= -\frac{1}{v^n}, \quad \hat{\theta}_{1,p}(\hat{v}) = -\frac{\theta_{n,p-1}(v)}{v^n}, \quad p \geq 1, \\ \hat{\theta}_{i,p}(\hat{v}) &= \frac{\theta_{i,p}(v)}{v^n}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad p \geq 0, \\ \hat{\theta}_{n,p}(\hat{v}) &= \frac{\theta_{1,p+1}(v)}{v^n}, \quad p \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

give a calibration $\{\hat{\theta}_{\alpha,p}(\hat{v})\}$ of $\hat{F}(\hat{v})$.

Proof According to the definition of calibration, we need to show that the generating functions $\hat{\theta}_\alpha(z)$ satisfy the equation (2.2), i.e.

$$\frac{\partial^2 \hat{\theta}_\nu(z)}{\partial \hat{v}^\alpha \partial \hat{v}^\beta} = z \hat{c}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \frac{\partial \hat{\theta}_\nu(z)}{\partial \hat{v}^\gamma},$$

and the normalization conditions. Note that both \hat{v} and $\hat{\theta}_{\alpha,p}$ are defined in three cases, so to prove the above identity one needs to verify $3 \times 2 \times 3 = 18$ cases. The computation is not hard (in fact, there is nothing more than elementary calculus), but very lengthy, so we omit the details.

The following identity is frequently used in these verifications:

$$v^n \delta_\alpha^n \frac{\partial v^\mu}{\partial \hat{v}^\beta} + v^n \delta_\beta^n \frac{\partial v^\mu}{\partial \hat{v}^\alpha} = \frac{\partial^2 v^\mu}{\partial \hat{v}^\alpha \partial \hat{v}^\beta} + \eta_{\alpha\beta} v^n \delta_1^\mu,$$

it can be proved by definition and case by case verifications (18 case again). By using the above identity and the following ones

$$\hat{c}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\hat{v}) = \frac{\partial^3 \hat{F}(\hat{v})}{\partial \hat{v}^\alpha \partial \hat{v}^\beta \partial \hat{v}^\gamma} = (v^n)^{-2} \frac{\partial v^\lambda}{\partial \hat{v}^\alpha} \frac{\partial v^\mu}{\partial \hat{v}^\beta} \frac{\partial v^\nu}{\partial \hat{v}^\gamma} c_{\lambda\mu\nu}(v)$$

which was given in [7], one can prove the proposition straightforwardly. \square

Proposition 3.2 *Let $\{\partial_{\alpha,p}\}$ be the principal hierarchy associated to a calibration $\{\theta_{\alpha,p}(v)\}$ of $F(v)$. Introduce the following reciprocal transformation*

$$d\hat{x} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{p \geq 0} \theta_{\alpha,p}(v) dt^{\alpha,p}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{t}^{1,0} &= \hat{x}, \quad \hat{t}^{1,p} = -t^{n,p-1}, \quad p \geq 1, \\ \hat{t}^{n,p} &= t^{1,p+1} \quad (p \geq 0), \quad \hat{t}^{i,p} = t^{i,p}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n, \quad p \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

and denote $\hat{\partial}_{\alpha,p} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{t}^{\alpha,p}}$, then we have

$$\frac{\partial \hat{v}^\beta}{\partial \hat{t}^{\alpha,p}} = \hat{\eta}^{\beta\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}} \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\theta}_{\alpha,p+1}(\hat{v})}{\partial \hat{v}^\gamma} \right), \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n, \quad p \geq 0, \quad (3.4)$$

i.e. $\{\hat{\partial}_{\alpha,p}\}$ is the principal hierarchy of $\hat{F}(\hat{v})$ with calibration $\{\hat{\theta}_{\alpha,p}(\hat{v})\}$.

Proof From the definition of the reciprocal transformation we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}} &= \frac{1}{v^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{t}^{1,p}} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t^{n,p-1}} + \frac{\theta_{n,p-1}(v)}{v^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad p \geq 1, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{t}^{i,p}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{i,p}} - \frac{\theta_{i,p}(v)}{v^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n, \quad p \geq 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{t}^{n,p}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{1,p+1}} - \frac{\theta_{1,p+1}(v)}{v^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad p \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

The proposition can be proved by direct calculation. \square

Proposition 3.3 *Let $\tau(t)$ be a tau function of the principal hierarchy associated to a calibration $\{\theta_{\alpha,p}(v)\}$ of $F(v)$. Define*

$$\log \hat{\tau}(\hat{t}) = \log \tau(t) - x \frac{\partial \log \tau(t)}{\partial x}, \quad (3.6)$$

then $\hat{\tau}(\hat{t})$ is a tau function of the principal hierarchy associated to $\{\hat{\theta}_{\alpha,p}(\hat{v})\}$.

Proof By definition the functions $\hat{\Omega}_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}(\hat{v})$ are given by (2.9) in terms of the functions $\{\hat{\theta}_{\alpha,p}(\hat{v})\}$. From the relation (1.5), (3.1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}(\hat{v}) = & (-1)^{\delta_\alpha^1 + \delta_\beta^1} \left(\Omega_{\alpha+(n-1)\delta(\alpha), p-\delta(\alpha); \beta+(n-1)\delta(\beta), q-\delta(\beta)}(v) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{v^n} \theta_{\alpha+(n-1)\delta(\alpha), p-\delta(\alpha)}(v) \theta_{\beta+(n-1)\delta(\beta), q-\delta(\beta)}(v) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where $\delta(\alpha) = \delta_\alpha^1 - \delta_\alpha^n$, and we assume that $\theta_{n,-1} = 1$, $\Omega_{\alpha,p;n,-1} = \Omega_{n,-1;\beta,q} = 0$ when $(\alpha,p), (\beta,q) \neq (1,0)$. Then one can verify, by using (1.5), (3.1) and (2.11), that

$$\hat{\Omega}_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}(\hat{v}(\hat{t})) = \hat{\partial}_{\alpha,p} \hat{\partial}_{\beta,q} \log \hat{\tau}(\hat{t}),$$

where $\hat{v}^\alpha(\hat{t}) = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \hat{\partial}_{1,0} \hat{\partial}_{\beta,0} \log \hat{\tau}(\hat{t})$. The proposition is proved. \square

Let $\tau(t)$ be a tau function of a principal hierarchy, then the reciprocal transformation (3.2) can be written as

$$d\hat{x} = d \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log \tau(t) \right).$$

It follows that up to the addition of a constant we have

$$\hat{x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log \tau(t). \quad (3.8)$$

The constant can be absorbed by a translation of \hat{x} in the definition of the reciprocal transformation, so we will assume from now on the validity of (3.8). Thus in terms of a given tau function, the reciprocal transformation (3.2), (3.3) can be represented by (3.3), (3.6) and (3.8).

We note that the inverse of the transformation (3.3), (3.8), (3.6) is given by (3.3) and

$$x = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}} \log \hat{\tau}(\hat{t}), \quad \log \tau(t) = \log \hat{\tau}(\hat{t}) - \hat{x} \frac{\partial \log \hat{\tau}(\hat{t})}{\partial \hat{x}}. \quad (3.9)$$

They are transformations of Legendre type.

4 Conformal Case

In this section we are to include the quasi-homogeneity condition into the WDVV equations as it is formulated in [7].

Definition 4.1 *A solution $F(v)$ of the WDVV equations is called conformal if there exists a vector field E , called the Euler vector field, of the form*

$$E = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n (q_{\beta}^{\alpha} v^{\beta} + r^{\alpha}) \partial_{\alpha}, \quad q_{\beta}^{\alpha}, r^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C},$$

and some constants $d, A_{\alpha\beta}, B_{\alpha}, C \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$E(F) = (3 - d)F + \frac{1}{2}A_{\alpha\beta}v^{\alpha}v^{\beta} + B_{\alpha}v^{\alpha} + C.$$

It is often assumed that the matrix $Q = (q_{\beta}^{\alpha})$ is diagonalizable and $q_1^1 = 1$. The coordinates v^1, \dots, v^n are normalized so that

$$E = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n (d_{\alpha}v^{\alpha} + r^{\alpha}) \partial_{\alpha}, \quad d_1 = 1,$$

and $r^{\alpha} = 0$ if $d_{\alpha} \neq 0$. In this paper, we assume that

$$r^1 = \dots = r^n = 0.$$

This assumption ensures that the solution $\hat{F}(\hat{v})$ of the WDVV equations obtained from $F(v)$ by the action of the inversion symmetry also has a diagonalizable Euler vector field, while this is not always true without the above assumption, see [7] and Lemma 4.2. Then the Euler vector field can be written in the following form:

$$E = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{d}{2} - \mu_{\alpha}\right) v^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}, \quad \mu_1 = -\frac{d}{2},$$

where the constants d and $\{\mu_{\alpha}\}$ are called the charge and the spectrum of $F(v)$ respectively [7].

Note that the WDVV equations only involve the third order derivatives of $F(v)$, so we can add certain quadratic functions of v^1, \dots, v^n to $F(v)$ such that the constants $A_{\alpha\beta}, B_{\alpha}, C$ satisfy the following normalizing conditions

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\alpha\beta} &\neq 0 \text{ only if } \mu_{\alpha} + \mu_{\beta} = -1, \\ B_{\alpha} &\neq 0 \text{ only if } \mu_{\alpha} = \frac{d}{2} - 2, \\ C &\neq 0 \text{ only if } d = 3. \end{aligned}$$

Further more, our assumption on η_{11} and r^α implies that

$$A_{1\alpha} = 0, \quad B_1 = 0.$$

The following lemma is proved in [7].

Lemma 4.2 ([7]) *Let $F(v)$ be a conformal solution of the WDVV equations with charge d and spectrum $\{\mu_\alpha\}$, and $\hat{F}(\hat{v})$ be its inversion, then $\hat{F}(\hat{v})$ is also conformal, whose charge \hat{d} and spectrum $\{\hat{\mu}_\alpha\}$ read*

$$\hat{d} = 2 - d, \quad \hat{\mu}_1 = \mu_n - 1, \quad \hat{\mu}_n = \mu_1 + 1, \quad \hat{\mu}_i = \mu_i \quad (2 \leq i \leq n - 1). \quad (4.1)$$

Definition 4.3 *Let $F(v)$ be a conformal solution of the WDVV equations with spectrum $\{\mu_\alpha\}$, a calibration $\{\theta_{\alpha,p}(v)\}$ is called conformal if there exist constant matrices R_1, R_2, \dots such that*

$$E(\partial_\beta \theta_{\alpha,p}(v)) = (p + \mu_\alpha + \mu_\beta) \partial_\beta \theta_{\alpha,p}(v) + \sum_{k=1}^p \partial_\beta \theta_{\gamma,p-k}(v) (R_k)_\alpha^\gamma, \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$(R_k)_\beta^\alpha \neq 0 \text{ only if } \mu_\alpha - \mu_\beta = k, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\eta_{\alpha\gamma} (R_k)_\beta^\gamma + (-1)^k \eta_{\beta\gamma} (R_k)_\alpha^\gamma = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

The property (4.3) implies that there is only finitely many nonzero matrices R_k . These matrices and the metric $(\eta_{\alpha\beta})$, the spectrum $\{\mu_\alpha\}$ form a representative of the monodromy data of $F(v)$ at $z = 0$, and

$$v_\alpha(z) = \sum_{p \geq 0} \theta_{\gamma,p}(v) (z^\mu z^R)_\alpha^\gamma, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n$$

form a system of flat coordinates for the deformed flat connection of the Frobenius manifold associated to the conformal solution F of the WDVV equations. Here $R = R_1 + R_2 + \dots$ and $\mu = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$. See [7, 8] for details.

Proposition 4.4 *Let $(F(v), \{\theta_{\alpha,p}(v)\})$ be a calibrated conformal solution of the WDVV equations, then the calibration $\{\hat{\theta}_{\alpha,p}(\hat{v})\}$ of $\hat{F}(\hat{v})$ is also conformal.*

Proof We only need to compute $\hat{E}(\hat{\partial}_\beta \hat{\theta}_{\alpha,p}(\hat{v}))$, then the matrices $\hat{R}_1, \hat{R}_2, \dots$ for $\hat{F}(\hat{v})$ can be obtained

$$(\hat{R}_k)_\beta^\alpha = (-1)^{\delta_1^\alpha + \delta_\beta^1} (R_{k+\delta(\alpha)-\delta(\beta)})_{\beta+(n-1)\delta(\beta)}^{\alpha+(n-1)\delta(\alpha)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

The proposition is proved. □

The principal hierarchy associated to a conformal calibration has a very important additional structure – the bihamiltonian structure. We already know from Sec. 2 that the principal hierarchy has one Hamiltonian structure P_1 . When the calibrated solution $(F(v), \{\theta_{\alpha,p}(v)\})$ is conformal, we have the following results.

Lemma 4.5 ([7]) *Define a matrix differential operator $P_2 = (P_2^{\alpha\beta})$*

$$P_2^{\alpha\beta} = g^{\alpha\beta}(v)\partial_x + \Gamma_{\gamma}^{\alpha\beta}(v)v_x^{\gamma},$$

$$g^{\alpha\beta}(v) = \left(\sum \right)$$

terms of infinite dimensional Jacobi structures and gave the transformation rule of such Hamiltonian structures under certain reciprocal transformations, a criterion on whether a reciprocal transformation preserves the locality of a Hamiltonian structure was also given in [23].

Theorem 4.7 ([23]) *Let P be a quasi-local bivector, ρ be an invertible differential polynomial, the reciprocal transformation defined by ρ is denoted by Φ . Then $\Phi(P)$ is local if and only if*

$$[P, \Lambda] = 0, \quad z(P, \rho) = 0,$$

where $\Lambda = \int \rho dx$, and $z(P, \rho)$ is the nonlocal charge of the pair (P, ρ) .

In the above theorem the bracket $[,]$ is the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket defined on the space of quasi-local multi-vectors [23], and the function ρ in our present case is given by $\rho = v^n$. The definition of the nonlocal charge $z(P, \rho)$ will be given below in the proof of Proposition 4.6.

Proof of Proposition 4.6 According to the general results of [23] (c.f. [17]), $\Phi(P_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) are Jacobi structures of hydrodynamic type. To prove the proposition, one need to show that they are both local, and their associated metrics coincide with the ones of \hat{P}_i ($i = 1, 2$).

We first give the proof of the locality of $\Phi(P_2)$ by using Theorem 4.7. The proof of locality for $\Phi(P_1)$ is easier and is omitted here.

In our reciprocal transformation (3.3), $\rho = v^n$, so $\Lambda = \int v^n dx$, then we need to show that

$$[P_2, \Lambda] = 0, \tag{4.8}$$

which is equivalent to say that there exists a constant c such that

$$\nabla^i \nabla_k (v^n) = c \delta_k^i,$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric $(g_{\alpha\beta}) = (g^{\alpha\beta})^{-1}$ (see (4.6)). By a straightforward calculation one can obtain that $c = \frac{1-d}{2}$, thus (4.8) holds true.

We then need to compute the nonlocal charge $z(P_2, v^n)$ defined in [23] by

$$z(P_2, v^n) = \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha (v^n) \nabla_\beta (v^n) - c v^n. \tag{4.9}$$

It is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2} g^{nn} - \frac{1-d}{2} v^n = 0.$$

This fact together with (4.8) implies the locality of $\Phi(P_2)$.

where $\tilde{t}^{\alpha,p} = \hat{t}^{\alpha,p} - \hat{c}^{\alpha,p}$ with

$$\hat{c}^{1,0} = 0, \quad \hat{c}^{1,p+1} = -c^{n,p}, \quad \hat{c}^{i,p} = c^{i,p}, \quad \hat{c}^{n,p} = c^{1,p+1}$$

for $i \neq 1, n$, $p \geq 0$, and $\hat{\theta}_{\alpha,p}$ are defined in (3.1). The associated tau function

$$\log \hat{\tau}(\hat{t}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,p,q} \tilde{t}^{\alpha,p} \tilde{t}^{\beta,q} \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}(\hat{v}(\hat{t})) \quad (5.4)$$

satisfies (3.6).

Proof To prove the validity of (5.3) let us consider the case when $\gamma = n$, the proof for other cases is similar. By using (1.5), (3.1) and (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum \tilde{t}^{\alpha,p} \frac{\partial \hat{\theta}_{\alpha,p}(\hat{v})}{\partial \hat{v}^n} \\ &= \sum \tilde{t}^{\alpha,p} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma \neq 1,n} v_\sigma v^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial v^1} + \sum_{\sigma \neq 1,n} v^\sigma v^n \frac{\partial}{\partial v^\sigma} + (v^n)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial v^n} \right) \hat{\theta}_{\alpha,p} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2v^n} \sum_{\sigma \neq 1,n} v_\sigma v^\sigma \sum_{\alpha,p} \tilde{t}^{\alpha,p} \frac{\partial \theta_{\alpha,p}}{\partial v^1} + \sum_{\sigma \neq 1,n} v^\sigma \sum_{\alpha,p} \tilde{t}^{\alpha,p} \frac{\partial \theta_{\alpha,p}}{\partial v^\sigma} \\ & \quad + v^n \sum_{\alpha,p} \tilde{t}^{\alpha,p} \frac{\partial \theta_{\alpha,p}}{\partial v^n} - \sum_{\alpha,p} \tilde{t}^{\alpha,p} \theta_{\alpha,p} + \hat{t}^{1,0} - \hat{c}^{1,0} \\ &= -\sum_{\alpha,p} \tilde{t}^{\alpha,p} \theta_{\alpha,p} + \hat{t}^{1,0} = -\frac{\partial \log \tau}{\partial x} + \hat{x} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the relation (3.8) and the fact that

$$\frac{\partial \log \tau(t)}{\partial x} = \sum_{\alpha,p} (t^{\alpha,p} - c^{\alpha,p}) \theta_{\alpha,p}(v(t)) \quad (5.5)$$

which follows from (2.12), (5.1) and (5.2). The validity of the relation (3.6) follows from (3.3), (3.7), (5.2) and (5.5). The proposition is proved. \square

In the case when the solution $F(v)$ of the WDVV equations is conformal, the tau function (5.2) satisfies the Virasoro constraints [13, 15, 24]

$$\sum a_m^{\alpha,p;\beta,q} \frac{\partial \log \tau}{\partial t^{\alpha,p}} \frac{\partial \log \tau}{\partial t^{\beta,q}} + \sum b_{m;\alpha,p}^{\beta,q} \tilde{t}^{\alpha,p} \frac{\partial \log \tau}{\partial t^{\beta,q}} + \sum c_{m;\alpha,p;\beta,q} \tilde{t}^{\alpha,p} \tilde{t}^{\beta,q} = 0, \quad (5.6)$$

where $m \geq -1$, and the coefficients that appear in the above expressions are some constants determined by the monodromy data of the Frobenius manifold of $F(v)$, they define a set of linear differential operators

$$\begin{aligned} L_m = & \sum a_m^{\alpha,p;\beta,q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^{\alpha,p} \partial t^{\beta,q}} + \sum b_{m;\alpha,p}^{\beta,q} t^{\alpha,p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\beta,q}} \\ & + \sum c_{m;\alpha,p;\beta,q} t^{\alpha,p} t^{\beta,q} + \delta_{m,0} c \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

which give a representation of the half branch of the Virasoro algebra

$$[L_i, L_j] = (i - j)L_{i+j} + n \frac{i^3 - i}{12} \delta_{i+j,0}, \quad i, j \geq -1. \quad (5.8)$$

The first two Virasoro operators have the expressions

$$\begin{aligned} L_{-1} = & \sum_{p \geq 1} t^{\alpha,p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\alpha,p-1}} + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta} t^{\alpha,0} t^{\beta,0}, \\ L_0 = & \sum_{p \geq 0} (p + \frac{1}{2} + \mu_\alpha) t^{\alpha,p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\alpha,p}} + \sum_{p \geq 1} \sum_{r=1}^p (R_r)_\alpha^\beta t^{\alpha,p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\beta,p-r}} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q \geq 0} (-1)^q (R_{p+q+1})_\alpha^\xi \eta_{\xi\beta} t^{\alpha,p} t^{\beta,q} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_\alpha \left(\frac{1}{4} - \mu_\alpha^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

See [13, 14] for the explicit expressions of $L_m, m \geq 2$. From Proposition 5.1 it follows that the tau function of the principal hierarchy (3.4) obtained from the tau function (5.2) of (2.7) via the action of the inversion symmetry of the WDVV equations satisfies the Virasoro constraints

$$\sum \hat{a}_m^{\alpha,p;\beta,q} \frac{\partial \log \hat{\tau}}{\partial \hat{t}^{\alpha,p}} \frac{\partial \log \hat{\tau}}{\partial \hat{t}^{\beta,q}} + \sum \hat{b}_{m;\alpha,p}^{\beta,q} \tilde{t}^{\alpha,p} \frac{\partial \log \hat{\tau}}{\partial \hat{t}^{\beta,q}} + \sum \hat{c}_{m;\alpha,p;\beta,q} \tilde{t}^{\alpha,p} \tilde{t}^{\beta,q} = 0 \quad (5.10)$$

associated to the solution $\hat{F}(\hat{v})$ of the WDVV equations.

6 The Topological Deformations

The principal hierarchy (2.7) possesses the following Virasoro symmetries [13]

$$\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial s_m} = \sum a_m^{\alpha,p;\beta,q} \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t^{\alpha,p}} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t^{\beta,q}} + \sum b_{m;\alpha,p}^{\beta,q} t^{\alpha,p} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t^{\beta,q}} + \sum c_{m;\alpha,p;\beta,q} t^{\alpha,p} t^{\beta,q} \tau, \quad (6.1)$$

where $m \geq -1$. Note that these symmetries are nonlinear in τ .

It is proved in [14] that, for a calibrated semisimple¹ conformal solution $F(v)$ of the WDVV equations, there exists a unique deformation of the principal hierarchy such that

- The deformed hierarchy possesses tau functions;
- The Virasoro symmetries (6.1) is deformed to the following one

$$\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial s_m} = L_m \tau, \quad m = -1, 0, 1, \dots$$

which is linear in τ .

The tau function of the deformed hierarchy that is specified by the Virasoro constraints

$$L_m|_{t^{\alpha,p} \rightarrow t^{\alpha,p} - \delta_1^\alpha} \tau(t) = 0, \quad m = -1, 0, 1, \dots$$

corresponds to the partition function of a 2D TFT if the solution $F(v)$ of the WDVV equations is given by the primary free energy of the matter sector of the 2D TFT. Due to this fact such a deformation of the principal hierarchy is called the topological deformation, it has the form

$$\frac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial t^{\beta,q}} = \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \partial_x \left(\frac{\partial \theta_{\beta,q+1}(w)}{\partial w^\gamma} \right) + \sum_{g \geq 1} \varepsilon^{2g} K_{\beta,q;g}^\alpha(w; w_x, \dots, w^{(2g+1)}). \quad (6.2)$$

Here $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n$, $K_{\beta,q;g}^\alpha$ are differential polynomials of w^1, \dots, w^n , i.e. polynomials of the x -derivatives of w^1, \dots, w^n whose coefficients depend smoothly on w^1, \dots, w^n .

In this section, we will study the relation between the topological deformations of the principal hierarchies of calibrated conformal solutions of the WDVV equations that are related by the inversion symmetry.

We redenote the Hamiltonian structures $P_i, \{ , \}_i, H_{\alpha,p}, \dots$ of the principal hierarchy (2.7) that appear in the previous sections by $P_i^{[0]}, \{ , \}_i^{[0]}, H_{\alpha,p}^{[0]}, \dots$ respectively. Then the topological deformation (6.2) of the principal hierarchy also possesses a Hamiltonian structure given by the following data:

- i) A Hamiltonian operator P_1 with leading term $P_1^{[0]}$

$$P_1 = P_1^{[0]} + \varepsilon^2 P_1^{[1]} + \varepsilon^4 P_1^{[2]} + \dots,$$

¹A solution of the WDVV equation is called semisimple, if for any point v of the associated Frobenius manifold M , the associative algebra defined on $T_v M$ by the structure constants $c_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(v)$ is semisimple. This notion is not explicitly used in the present paper.

where $P_1^{[k]}$ ($k \geq 1$) are matrix differential operators whose coefficients are differential polynomials of w^1, \dots, w^n with $\deg P_1^{[k]} = 2k + 1$;

ii) A set of differential polynomials $\{h_{\alpha,p}(w, w_x, \dots)\}$ of the form

$$h_{\alpha,p}(w, w_x, \dots) = \theta_{\alpha,p}(w) + \epsilon^2 h_{\alpha,p}^{[1]}(w, w_x, w_{xx}) + \epsilon^4 h_{\alpha,p}^{[2]}(w, w_x, \dots) + \dots,$$

where $h_{\alpha,p}^{[k]}$ ($k \geq 1$) are differential polynomials of degree $2k$.

They define respectively the deformed Poisson bracket

$$\{H_1, H_2\}_1 = \int \frac{\delta H_1}{\delta w^\alpha} P_1^{\alpha\beta} \left(\frac{\delta H_2}{\delta w^\beta} \right) dx = \{H_1, H_2\}_1^{[0]} + \epsilon^2 \{H_1, H_2\}_1^{[1]} + \dots$$

and the deformed Hamiltonians

$$H_{\alpha,p} = \int h_{\alpha,p+1} dx = H_{\alpha,p}^{[0]} + \epsilon^2 H_{\alpha,p}^{[1]} + \dots.$$

In particular, the densities $h_{\alpha,0}$ are given by (c.f. (2.3))

$$h_{\alpha,0} = \eta_{\alpha\gamma} w^\gamma, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then the deformed hierarchy (6.1) has the expression

$$\frac{\partial w^\beta}{\partial t^{\alpha,p}} = \{w^\beta, H_{\alpha,p}\}_1 = P_1^{\beta\gamma} \frac{\delta H_{\alpha,p}}{\delta w^\gamma}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n, \quad p \geq 0.$$

We also denote $\partial_{\alpha,p} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\alpha,p}}$. This hierarchy has the following properties:

- i) $\partial_{1,0} = \partial_x$;
- ii) $\{H_{\alpha,p}, H_{\beta,q}\}_1 = 0$, $\{H_{\alpha,-1}, \cdot\}_1 = 0$, $\partial_{\alpha,p} H_{\beta,q} = 0$, $[\partial_{\alpha,p}, \partial_{\beta,q}] = 0$;
- iii) $\partial_{\alpha,p} h_{\beta,q} = \partial_{\beta,q} h_{\alpha,p}$;

The property iii), which is called the tau symmetry condition, implies that for any pair of indices $(\alpha, p), (\beta, q)$ there exists a differential polynomial $\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}$ such that

$$\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q} = \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{[0]} + \epsilon^2 \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{[1]} + \dots, \quad \partial_x \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q} = \partial_{\alpha,p} h_{\beta,q}.$$

They are related to the tau function of the topological deformation of the principal hierarchy by

$$\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}(w(t), w_x(t), \dots) = \epsilon^2 \partial_{\alpha,p} \partial_{\beta,q} \log \tau(t)$$

where $w^\alpha(t) = \epsilon^2 \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{1,0} \partial_{\beta,0} \log \tau(t)$. It follows from the definition of tau function $\tau^{[0]}(t)$ for the principal hierarchy that

$$\mathcal{F}(t) = \epsilon^{-2} \mathcal{F}_0(t) + \mathcal{F}_1(t) + \epsilon^2 \mathcal{F}_2(t) + \dots, \quad (6.3)$$

where the free energy $\mathcal{F}(t) = \log \tau(t)$, $\mathcal{F}_0(t) = \log \tau^{[0]}(t)$.

The topological deformation of the principal hierarchy is constructed in [14] by using the fact that the free energy $\mathcal{F}(t)$ can be determined by the requirement of the linearization of the Virasoro symmetries via the genus zero free energy \mathcal{F}_0 . Namely, it can be represented in the form

$$\mathcal{F}(t) = \log \tau = \epsilon^{-2} \mathcal{F}_0(t) + \Delta F(v, v_x, \dots) |_{v^\alpha = v^\alpha(t)}. \quad (6.4)$$

Here

$$\Delta F = F_1(v, v_x) + \epsilon^2 F_2(v, \dots, v^{(4)}) + \dots + \epsilon^{2g-2} F_g(v, \dots, v^{(3g-2)}) + \dots$$

with the functions F_g determined by the loop equation give in [14], and

$$v^\alpha(t) = \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}_0(t)}{\partial x \partial t^{\gamma,0}}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n$$

satisfy the principal hierarchy. The topological deformation of the principal hierarchy is then obtained by the following coordinates transformation

$$w^\alpha = v^\alpha + \epsilon^2 \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{1,0} \partial_{\beta,0} \Delta F. \quad (6.5)$$

This transformations is a particular quasi-Miura transformation. In general, a quasi-Miura transformation will transform objects (like Hamiltonians, vector fields, Hamiltonian structures, ...) with differential polynomial coefficients to objects with coefficients being rational functions of the jet variables. In [14] the above mentioned Hamiltonian structure of the deformed hierarchy is obtained from the first Hamiltonian structure of the principal hierarchy via the quasi-Miura transformation (6.5). A proof of the polynomiality of the topological deformation of the principal hierarchy and of the Hamiltonian operator P_1 are given in [26] and [27]. For the second Hamiltonian structure of the principal hierarchy, the following conjecture is given in [14].

Conjecture 6.1 ([14]) *The quasi-Miura transformation (6.5) transforms the second Hamiltonian structure $P_2^{[0]}$ of the principal hierarchy to a Hamiltonian structure P_2 with differential polynomial coefficients.*

We assume its validity in the remaining part of the present section, and call the bihamiltonian structure (P_1, P_2) that is induced from $(P_1^{[0]}, P_2^{[0]})$ via the

quasi-Miura transformation (6.5) the topological deformation of the bihamiltonian structure $(P_1^{[0]}, P_2^{[0]})$.

Let $(F(v), \{\theta_{\alpha,p}(v)\})$ and $(\hat{F}(\hat{v}), \{\hat{\theta}_{\alpha,p}(\hat{v})\})$ be two fixed calibrated conformal solutions of the WDVV equations which are related by the inversion symmetry, and denote the topological deformations of the corresponding principal hierarchies by

$$\partial_{\alpha,p} w^\beta = P_1^{\alpha\beta} \left(\frac{\delta H_{\alpha,p}}{\delta w^\beta} \right), \quad \hat{\partial}_{\alpha,p} \hat{w}^\beta = \hat{P}_1^{\alpha\beta} \left(\frac{\delta \hat{H}_{\alpha,p}}{\delta \hat{w}^\beta} \right)$$

respectively. Introduce the following reciprocal transformation Φ :

$$d\tilde{x} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{p \geq 0} h_{\alpha,p} dt^{\alpha,p}, \quad (6.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{t}^{1,0} &= \tilde{x}, \quad \tilde{t}^{1,p} = -t^{n,p-1}, \quad p \geq 1, \\ \tilde{t}^{n,p} &= t^{1,p+1} \quad (p \geq 0), \quad \tilde{t}^{i,p} = t^{i,p}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n, \quad p \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

and the new coordinates $\tilde{w}^\alpha = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{h}_{\beta,0}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_{1,0} &= -\frac{1}{h_{1,0}}, \quad \tilde{h}_{1,p} = -\frac{h_{n,p-1}}{h_{1,0}}, \quad p \geq 1, \\ \tilde{h}_{\alpha,p} &= \frac{h_{\alpha,p}}{h_{1,0}}, \quad 2 \leq \alpha \leq n-1, \quad p \geq 0, \\ \tilde{h}_{n,p} &= \frac{h_{1,p+1}}{h_{1,0}}, \quad p \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

Here the densities $h_{\alpha,p} = h_{\alpha,p}(w, w_x, \dots)$ are chosen as [14]

$$h_{\alpha,p}(w, w_x, \dots) = \theta_{\alpha,p+1}(v) + \frac{\partial^2 \Delta F(v, v_x, \dots)}{\partial x \partial t^{\alpha,p+1}}.$$

The variables v, v_x, \dots that appear on the right hand side of the above equation can be represented in terms of the variables w, w_x, \dots by using the quasi-Miura transformation (6.5).

Denote the components of $\Phi(P_1)$ in the coordinates system $(\tilde{w}^1, \dots, \tilde{w}^n)$ by $\tilde{P}_1^{\alpha\beta}$, then we have (see [23])

$$\tilde{\partial}_{\alpha,p} \tilde{w}^\beta = \tilde{P}_1^{\alpha\beta} \left(\frac{\delta \tilde{H}_{\alpha,p}}{\delta \tilde{w}^\beta} \right), \quad \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha,p} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}^{\alpha,p}}. \quad (6.9)$$

It is easy to see that $\tilde{P}_1, \{\tilde{H}_{\alpha,p}\}$ have the same leading terms with $\hat{P}_1, \{\hat{H}_{\alpha,p}\}$ respectively but their deformed parts are different.

Theorem 6.2 *There exists a Miura type transformation such that the hierarchy (6.9) is transformed to the topological deformation $\{\hat{\partial}_{\alpha,p}\hat{w}^\beta\}$ of the principal hierarchy for $\hat{F}(\hat{v})$.*

Lemma 6.3 *Under the reciprocal transformation (6.6), (6.7) the bihamiltonian structure (P_1, P_2) of the topological deformation of the principal hierarchy is transformed to a local bihamiltonian structure of (6.9).*

Proof We are to use Theorem 4.7 again. Denote by $\Lambda = \int h_{1,0} dx$, we need to show that

$$[P_i, \Lambda] = 0, \quad z(P_i, h_{1,0}) = 0.$$

Here the function z is defined as in (4.9). The first equality is a consequence of the quasi-triviality of the bihamiltonian structure (P_1, P_2) (see [9]), since we have proved $[P_i^{[0]}, \Lambda^{[0]}] = 0$. The second equality is verified in the proof of Proposition 4.6. The lemma is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.2 From the above lemma it follows that both the hierarchy (6.9) and the topological deformation $\{\hat{\partial}_{\alpha,p}\hat{w}^\beta\}$ of the principal hierarchy for $\hat{F}(\hat{v})$ possess local bihamiltonian structures, these bihamiltonian structures have the same leading terms which form a semisimple² bihamiltonian structure of hydrodynamic type.

From Theorem 2.5.7 of [23] it follows that Miura type transformations preserve Schouten-Nijenhuis brackets, so if two bihamiltonian structures are related by a Miura type transformations, then this transformation transforms a bihamiltonian vector field to a bihamiltonian vector field. By using this fact and the result of Corollary 1.9 of [9] we know that in order to prove the equivalence of two hierarchies under Miura type transformations, we only need to show that their bihamiltonian structures are equivalent. According to the general results of [9, 22], two bihamiltonian structures of the type considered here with same leading terms are equivalent if and only if their central invariants³ coincide. It is proved in [10] that the topological defor-

²A bihamiltonian structure of hydrodynamic type is semisimple if the eigenvalues of the $(1, 1)$ tensor $r_j^i = (g_2)^{ik}(g_1)_{kj}$ are non-constant and distinct, where g_1, g_2 are the metrics associated to the hydrodynamic bihamiltonian structures. The bihamiltonian structures obtained from a semisimple conformal solution of the WDVV equation is always semisimple. This notion is not explicitly used in the present paper either.

³The notion of central invariants is introduced in [9, 22] to characterize infinitesimal deformations of a bihamiltonian structure of hydrodynamic type. They are defined by certain tensor coefficients that appear in the first six terms of the deformed bihamiltonian structure. Since their explicit definition will occupy too much space and we never use it in the present paper, we omit it. In fact, all deformations considered in this paper have central invariants $\frac{1}{24}$.

mation of the bihamiltonian structure of a principal hierarchy has central invariants $\frac{1}{24}$, so we have

$$c_i(P_1, P_2) = \frac{1}{24}, \quad c_i(\hat{P}_1, \hat{P}_2) = \frac{1}{24}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

On the other hand, it is shown in [23] that if a reciprocal transformation transforms a *local bi-Jacobi* (i.e. bihamiltonian) structure to a local one, then it preserves the central invariants, which implies

$$c_i(P_1, P_2) = c_i(\tilde{P}_1, \tilde{P}_2), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

so $c_i(\tilde{P}_1, \tilde{P}_2) = c_i(\hat{P}_1, \hat{P}_2)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. The theorem is proved. \square

The above theorem only ensures the existence of the Miura type transformation relating the two integrable hierarchies. We now consider the explicit form of this transformation.

Note that the reciprocal transformation (6.6)-(6.8) is defined in the same way as in the dispersionless case, so we have the following proposition.

Proposition 6.4 *Let $\tau(t)$ be a tau function of the topological deformation (6.2) of the principal hierarchy associated to a calibration $\{\theta_{\alpha,p}(v)\}$ of $F(v)$. Define*

$$\log \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{t}) = \log \tau(t) - x \frac{\partial \log \tau(t)}{\partial x}, \quad (6.10)$$

then $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{t})$ is a tau function of the hierarchy (6.9). It satisfies

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}(\tilde{w}(\tilde{t})) = \epsilon^2 \tilde{\partial}_{\alpha,p} \tilde{\partial}_{\beta,q} \log \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{t}),$$

where $\tilde{w}^\alpha(\tilde{t}) = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{\partial}_{1,0} \tilde{\partial}_{\beta,0} \log \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{t})$, and $\tilde{\Omega}_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}(w)$ are defined as in (3.7) with $\theta_{\alpha,p}(v)$ replaced by $h_{\alpha,p}(w)$.

We denote $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{t}) = \log \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{t})$, and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{t}) = \epsilon^{-2} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0(\tilde{t}) + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1(\tilde{t}) + \epsilon^2 \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2(\tilde{t}) + \dots.$$

It is easy to see that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0 = \log \hat{\tau}^{[0]}$, which is the tau function of the principal hierarchy $\{\hat{\partial}_{\alpha,p} \hat{v}^\beta\}$ given in Proposition 3.3. In what follows, we are to show that the functions $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_g$ ($g \geq 1$) can be obtained from \mathcal{F}_g ($g \geq 1$), and they are in fact differential rational functions⁴ of $\hat{v}^1, \dots, \hat{v}^n$.

We regard the two sides of (6.10) as power series in ϵ with coefficients being functions of t , then compare their Laurent coefficients. By using (6.4)

⁴A differential rational function of $\hat{v}^1, \dots, \hat{v}^n$ is a rational function in $\hat{v}_x^i, \hat{v}_{xx}^i, \dots$ with coefficients being smooth functions of $\hat{v}^1, \dots, \hat{v}^n$.

we know that the reciprocal transformation (6.6) can be represented in terms of tau function by

$$\tilde{x} = \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial \log \tau(t)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_0(t)}{\partial x} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial \Delta \mathcal{F}(t)}{\partial x} = \hat{x} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial \Delta \mathcal{F}(t)}{\partial x}, \quad (6.11)$$

where $\Delta \mathcal{F}(t) = \sum_{g \geq 1} \epsilon^{2g-2} \mathcal{F}_g(t)$, so we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_g(\tilde{t}) = e^{\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial \Delta \mathcal{F}(t)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}}} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_g(\hat{t}) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial \Delta \mathcal{F}(t)}{\partial x} \right)^k \frac{\partial^k \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_g(\hat{t})}{\partial \hat{x}^k}. \quad (6.12)$$

In particular, by using the Legendre transformations (3.6), (6.10) and the fact that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0(\tilde{t}) = \hat{\mathcal{F}}_0(\hat{t})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^{-2} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0(\tilde{t}) &= \epsilon^{-2} e^{\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial \Delta \mathcal{F}(t)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}}} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0(\hat{t}) \\ &= \epsilon^{-2} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0(\hat{t}) + \frac{\partial \Delta \mathcal{F}(t)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0(\hat{t})}{\partial \hat{x}} + \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial \Delta \mathcal{F}(t)}{\partial x} \right)^k \frac{\partial^k \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0(\hat{t})}{\partial \hat{x}^k} \\ &= \epsilon^{-2} \left(\mathcal{F}_0(t) - x \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_0(t)}{\partial x} \right) - x \frac{\partial \Delta \mathcal{F}(t)}{\partial x} + \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial \Delta \mathcal{F}(t)}{\partial x} \right)^k \frac{\partial^k \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0(\hat{t})}{\partial \hat{x}^k} \\ &= \epsilon^{-2} \mathcal{F}_0(t) - x \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}(t)}{\partial x} + \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial \Delta \mathcal{F}(t)}{\partial x} \right)^k \frac{\partial^k \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0(\hat{t})}{\partial \hat{x}^k} \\ &= \log \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{t}) - \Delta \mathcal{F}(t) + \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial \Delta \mathcal{F}(t)}{\partial x} \right)^k \frac{\partial^k \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0(\hat{t})}{\partial \hat{x}^k}, \end{aligned}$$

by using (6.12) again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{g \geq 1} \epsilon^{2g-2} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial \Delta \mathcal{F}(t)}{\partial x} \right)^k \frac{\partial^k \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_g(\hat{t})}{\partial \hat{x}^k} \\ &= \Delta \mathcal{F}(t) - \epsilon^{-2} \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial \Delta \mathcal{F}(t)}{\partial x} \right)^k \frac{\partial^k \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0(\hat{t})}{\partial \hat{x}^k}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

By comparing the coefficients of powers of ϵ on both sides of the above equation we can represent $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_g$ in terms of $\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_g$ as follows:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1(\hat{t}) = \mathcal{F}_1(t), \quad (6.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2(\hat{t}) &= \mathcal{F}_2(t) - \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1(\hat{t})}{\partial \hat{x}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1(t)}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0(\hat{t})}{\partial \hat{x}^2} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1(t)}{\partial x} \right)^2 \\ &= \mathcal{F}_2(t) - \frac{1}{2v^n} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1(t)}{\partial x} \right)^2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

Here we used the relations

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1(\hat{t})}{\partial \hat{x}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1(t)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \hat{x}} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1(t)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0(\hat{t})}{\partial \hat{x}^2}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0(\hat{t})}{\partial \hat{x}^2} = \hat{v}^n = -\frac{1}{v^n}.$$

Note that the summation on the left hand side of (6.13) starts from $k = 2$, so we can use the fact that

$$\frac{\partial^k \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0(\hat{t})}{\partial \hat{x}^k} = \frac{\partial^{k-2} \hat{v}^n(\hat{t})}{\partial \hat{x}^{k-2}},$$

then every $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_g(\hat{t})$ is a differential polynomial of $v^n, \mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_g$. Note that $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_g$ are differential rational function of v^1, \dots, v^n , and $\hat{v}^1, \dots, \hat{v}^n$ are rational functions of v^1, \dots, v^n , so we see that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_g$ is a differential rational function of $\hat{v}^1, \dots, \hat{v}^n$.

Now let us denote by

$$\log \hat{\tau}(\hat{t}) = \hat{\mathcal{F}}(\hat{t}) = \epsilon^{-2} \hat{\mathcal{F}}_0(\hat{t}) + \sum_{g \geq 0} \epsilon^{2g-2} \hat{\mathcal{F}}_g(\hat{t})$$

the free energy of the topological deformation of the principal hierarchy associated to $\hat{F}(\hat{v})$. Then as in (6.4) we can represent $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_g(\hat{t})$ in the form

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}_g(\hat{t}) = \hat{F}_g(\hat{v}(\hat{t}), \dots, \partial_{\hat{x}}^{3g-2} \hat{v}(\hat{t})),$$

where $\hat{v}(\hat{t}) = (\hat{v}^1(\hat{t}), \dots, \hat{v}^n(\hat{t}))$ is a solution of the principal hierarchy of $\hat{F}(\hat{v})$.

Now let us compare $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_g$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_g$ ($g \geq 1$). When $g = 1$, from (6.14) and the expression of the genus one free energy [12] it follows that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1(\hat{t}) = \mathcal{F}_1(t) = \frac{1}{24} \det(c_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(v(t))v_x^\gamma(t) + G(v(t))).$$

Here $G(v)$ is the G -function associated to $F(v)$, see [12]. By using the following relation between the G -functions for $F(v)$ and its inversion $\hat{F}(\hat{v})$ given in [28]:

$$\hat{G}(\hat{v}) = G(v) + \left(\frac{n}{24} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log v^n$$

and the identity

$$\frac{1}{24} \log \det(\hat{c}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\hat{v})\hat{v}_{\hat{x}_0}^\gamma) = \frac{1}{24} \log \det(c_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(v)v_x^\gamma) - \frac{n}{24} \log v^n,$$

we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1(\hat{t}) = \hat{\mathcal{F}}_1(\hat{t}) - \frac{1}{2} \log \hat{v}^n(\hat{t}) + \frac{1}{2} \log(-1).$$

For higher genera, we present the following conjecture.

Conjecture 6.5 *The difference $\mathcal{G} = \sum_{g \geq 1} \epsilon^{2g-2} (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_g - \hat{\mathcal{F}}_g)$ can be represented as*

$$\mathcal{G}(\hat{w}) = \mathcal{G}_1(\hat{w}^n) + \epsilon^2 \mathcal{G}_2(\hat{w}^n) + \epsilon^4 \mathcal{G}_3(\hat{w}^n) + \dots,$$

where $\mathcal{G}_g(\hat{w}^n)$ are differential polynomials of \hat{w}^n . Moreover, the differential polynomials \mathcal{G}_g do not depend on the particular solution $F(v)$ of the WDVV equations.

We have shown above that the conjecture holds true at the genus one approximation with

$$\mathcal{G}_1(\hat{w}^n) = -\frac{1}{2} \log \hat{w}^n + \frac{1}{2} \log(-1).$$

At the genus two approximation, we have verified the validity of the conjecture for solutions of the WDVV equations that are associated to the Coxeter groups of type $I_2(k)$ and A_3 with

$$\mathcal{G}_2(\hat{w}^n) = \frac{\hat{w}_{\hat{x}\hat{x}}^n}{8(\hat{w}^n)^2} - \frac{(\hat{w}_{\hat{x}}^n)^2}{12(\hat{w}^n)^3}.$$

For the higher genera corrections \mathcal{G}_g ($g \geq 3$) we do not know their explicit expressions at the moment. It is interesting to give an interpretation for the expressions of these functions.

Under the assumption of validity of the above conjecture, the Miura type transformation between the hierarchy (6.9) and the topological deformation $\{\frac{\partial \hat{w}^\alpha}{\partial \hat{t}^{\beta,q}}\}$ of the principal hierarchy for $\hat{F}(\hat{v})$ is given by

$$\tilde{w}^\alpha = \hat{w}^\alpha + \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\hat{x}} \partial_{\hat{t}^{\beta,0}} \left[-\frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \log \hat{w}^n + \epsilon^4 \left(\frac{\hat{w}_{\hat{x}\hat{x}}^n}{8(\hat{w}^n)^2} - \frac{(\hat{w}_{\hat{x}}^n)^2}{12(\hat{w}^n)^3} \right) \right] + \dots$$

Here $\eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\hat{t}^{\beta,0}} \hat{w}^n = \hat{w}_{\hat{x}}^\alpha$. We note that after the above Miura type transformation the flows $\frac{\partial \hat{w}^\alpha}{\partial \hat{t}^{\beta,q}}$ are transformed to the evolutionary PDEs

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{w}^\alpha}{\partial \hat{t}^{\beta,q}} = K_{\beta,q}^\alpha(\tilde{w}, \tilde{w}_{\hat{x}}, \tilde{w}_{\hat{x}\hat{x}}, \dots), \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n, \quad q \geq 0,$$

then the hierarchy (6.9) is obtained by redenoting the spatial variable \hat{x} and the time variables $\hat{t}^{\beta,q}$ by \tilde{x} and $\tilde{t}^{\beta,q}$ respectively.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Boris Dubrovin for helpful discussions and comments. The work is partially supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) No.2007CB814800, the NSFC No. 10801084, 11071135 and 11171176, and the Marie Curie IRSES project RIMMP.

References

- [1] S. Abenda, Reciprocal transformations and local Hamiltonian structures of hydrodynamic-type systems. *J. Phys. A* 42 (2009), no. 9, 095208, 20 pp.
- [2] Y. Chen, M. Kontsevich, A. Schwarz, Symmetries of WDVV equations, *Nuclear Phys. B* 730 (2005), no. 3, 352–363.
- [3] R. Dijkgraaf, H. Verlinde, E. Verlinde, Notes on topological string theory and 2D quantum gravity. *String theory and quantum gravity (Trieste, 1990)*, 91–156, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1991.
- [4] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde, H. Verlinde, Topological strings in $d < 1$, *Nuclear Phys. B* 352 (1991), no. 1, 59–86.
- [5] B. Dubrovin, Integrable systems in TFT, *Nuclear Phys. B* 379 (1992), no. 3, 627–689.
- [6] B. Dubrovin, Integrable systems and classification of 2-dimensional topological field theories, *Integrable systems (Luminy, 1991)*, 313–359, *Progr. Math.*, 115, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1993.
- [7] B. Dubrovin, Geometry of 2D topological field theories, *Integrable systems and quantum groups (Montecatini Terme, 1993)*, 120–348, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1620, Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- [8] B. Dubrovin, Painlevé transcendents in two-dimensional TFT, *The Painlevé property*, 287–412, *CRM Ser. Math. Phys.*, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [9] B. Dubrovin, S.-Q. Liu, Y. Zhang, On Hamiltonian perturbations of hyperbolic systems of conservation laws. I. Quasi-triviality of bi-Hamiltonian perturbations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 59 (2006), no. 4, 559–615.
- [10] B. Dubrovin, S.-Q. Liu, Y. Zhang, Frobenius manifolds and central invariants for the Drinfeld-Sokolov bi-Hamiltonian structures. *Adv. Math.* 219 (2008), no. 3, 780–837.
- [11] B. Dubrovin, Y. Zhang, Extended affine Weyl groups and Frobenius manifolds, *Compositio Math.* 111 (1998), no. 2, 167–219.
- [12] B. Dubrovin, Y. Zhang, Bi-Hamiltonian hierarchies in 2D TFT at one-loop approximation, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 198 (1998), no. 2, 311–361.

- [13] B. Dubrovin, Y. Zhang, Frobenius manifolds and Virasoro constraints, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 5 (1999), no. 4, 423–466.
- [14] B. Dubrovin, Y. Zhang, Normal forms of hierarchies of integrable PDEs, Frobenius manifolds and Gromov-Witten invariants, preprint arXiv: math.DG/0108160.
- [15] T. Eguchi, K. Hori, C.-S. Xiong, Quantum cohomology and Virasoro algebra, *Phys. Lett. B* 402 (1997), no. 1-2, 71–80.
- [16] E. Feigin, J. van de Leur, S. Shadrin, Givental symmetries of Frobenius manifolds and multi-component KP tau-functions. *Adv. Math.* 224 (2010), no. 3, 1031–1056.
- [17] E. Ferapontov, M. Pavlov, Reciprocal transformations of Hamiltonian operators of hydrodynamic type: Nonlocal Hamiltonian formalism for linearly degenerate systems, *J. Math. Phys.* 44 (2003), no. 3, 1150–1172.
- [18] A. Givental, Gromov-Witten invariants and quantization of quadratic Hamiltonians, *Mosc. Math. J.* 1 (2001), no. 4, 551–568, 645.
- [19] A. Givental, Symplectic geometry of Frobenius structures. Frobenius manifolds, 91–112, *Aspects Math.*, E36, Vieweg, Wiesbaden, 2004.
- [20] Y.-P. Lee, Invariance of tautological equations. II. Gromov-Witten theory. With an appendix by Y. Iwao and the author. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 22 (2009), no. 2, 331–352.
- [21] J. van de Leur, Twisted GL_n loop group orbit and solutions of the WDVV equations, *Int Math Res Notices* 2001 (2001), 551–573.
- [22] S.-Q. Liu, Y. Zhang, Deformations of semisimple bihamiltonian structures of hydrodynamic type. *J. Geom. Phys.* 54 (2005), no. 4, 427–453.
- [23] S.-Q. Liu, Y. Zhang, Jacobi Structures of Evolutionary Partial Differential Equations, *Adv. Math.* 227 (2011), 73–130.
- [24] X. Liu, G. Tian, Virasoro constraints for quantum cohomology, *J. Differential Geom.* 50 (1998), no. 3, 537–590.
- [25] M. Pavlov, Conservation of the “forms” of Hamiltonian structures upon linear substitution for independent variables, *Math. Notes* 57 (1995), no. 5-6, 489–495

- [26] A. Buryak, H. Posthuma, S. Shadrin, A polynomial bracket for the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchies, preprint arXiv: 1009.5351.
- [27] A. Buryak, H. Posthuma, S. Shadrin, On deformations of quasi-Miura transformations and the Dubrovin-Zhang bracket, preprint arXiv: 1104.2722.
- [28] I. A. B. Strachan, Symmetries and solutions of Getzler's equation for Coxeter and extended affine Weyl Frobenius Manifolds, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2003, no. 19, 1035–1051.
- [29] D. Xu, Y. Zhang, On Symmetries of the WDVV Equations, preprint arXiv: 1002.0034.
- [30] T. Xue, Y. Zhang, Bihamiltonian systems of hydrodynamic type and reciprocal transformations, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 75 (2006), no. 1, 79–92.
- [31] E. Witten, On the structure of the topological phase of two-dimensional gravity, *Nuclear Phys. B* 340 (1990), no. 2-3, 281–332.