

Rigidity for Differentiable Classification of One-dimensional Dynamical Systems

Weigu Li

School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University
Beijing 100871, P. R. China
weigu@math.pku.edu.cn

Meirong Zhang

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University
Beijing 100084, P. R. China
mzhang@math.tsinghua.edu.cn

Abstract. We prove, using normal forms and embedding flows, some rigidity results for differentiable classification of nonhyperbolic one-dimensional dynamical systems, from which equivalence of lower order of differentiability does imply higher one in some extent.

1 Introduction

Classification of dynamical systems (vector fields, diffeomorphisms etc.) under topological or differentiable equivalence is a central, yet a difficult, problem in the dynamical systems theory. When systems have good ‘hyperbolicity’, there are several important results. For example, it is known that all the eigenvalues at all periodic points of a toral Anosov diffeomorphism constitute the complete invariants of differentiable conjugacy of toral Anosov diffeomorphisms. See [2, 3]. Another class of dynamical systems for which the classification has been well studied is that of one-dimensional systems. One notable result on differentiable conjugacy of one-dimensional Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms is that the complete invariants consist of the eigenvalues at periodic points and the so-called “time difference functions” which serve as the connecting invariants between periodic points. See [10]. Some interesting global classification results on one-dimensional ‘expanding’ maps, including the famous Ulam-von Neumann transformation, could be found in [5, 6].

In this paper we are concerned with another interesting phenomenon in the (local) classification results of dynamical systems, i.e., the rigidity results for differentiable equivalence of dynamical systems.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37C15, 37E05.

The authors were supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, the National 973 Project of China and the Excellent Personnel Supporting Plan of the Ministry of Education of China.

Let us explain what does mean the rigidity using the systems of diffeomorphisms. Recall that two diffeomorphisms f and g are said to be C^r conjugate ($r \geq 0$) if there is a C^r diffeomorphism H for which the relation

$$H \circ f = g \circ H$$

holds. In case $r = 0$, C^r conjugacy is the usual *topological conjugacy*. It is obvious that if f and g are C^r conjugate then they are also necessarily C^s conjugate for any $0 \leq s \leq r$. Of course, the converse does not hold in general. For example, a nonhyperbolic system may topologically conjugate to a hyperbolic one, while any differentiable conjugacy preserves hyperbolicity. However, some rigidity phenomenon, which means that a C^s conjugacy is actually a C^r conjugacy where $s < r$, does occur for differentiable conjugacy. A basic example is the following one on differentiable (local) conjugacies of one-dimensional systems around hyperbolic fixed points. For a proof of it, one can see, for example, [10].

Theorem A *Let f and g be two C^r ($r \geq 2$) local diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{R} with hyperbolic fixed point 0, i.e., $|f'(0)| \neq 1$ and $|g'(0)| \neq 1$. Then the C^1 conjugacy between f and g implies the C^r conjugacy between them. In fact, both the C^1 conjugacy and the C^r conjugacy are simply equivalent to $f'(0) = g'(0)$.*

Such a rigidity result also holds for vector fields with hyperbolic singular points in which case the C^r conjugacy should be replaced by the C^r equivalence (see the next section for the definition).

Another important rigidity example is the so-called rigidity phenomenon for strong conjugacy of *families* of diffeomorphisms. This means that even certain *topological* conjugacy for the families does imply the *differentiable* conjugacy on the center manifold of the systems. See [8, 7]. Such a rigidity result has many important applications in bifurcation of families of diffeomorphisms.

In this paper, we aim at generalizing Theorem A to the nonhyperbolic case, including local diffeomorphisms and vector fields of \mathbb{R} . The obtained rigidity results depend upon the degree of degeneracy of nonhyperbolic fixed points (singular points). In order to have a feeling on the results, let us consider local C^∞ diffeomorphisms $f(x) = x + ax^n + o(x^n)$ and $g(x) = x + bx^n + o(x^n)$ near $x = 0$, where a and b are nonzero reals and n is an integer ≥ 2 . Then our rigidity result says that the C^1 conjugacy implies the C^{n-1} conjugacy, while the C^n conjugacy implies the C^∞ conjugacy. For nonhyperbolic singular points of vector fields, we will prove a similar result.

The proof for vector fields is based on the C^∞ normal forms of nonhyperbolic singular points [4]. The result for local diffeomorphisms is then a corollary of the result for vector fields using the technique of embedding flows [9, 10].

2 Statement of Results

Instead of the C^r conjugacy for germs of diffeomorphisms defined before, we say that two germs of vector fields v , w with a singular point at 0 are C^r equivalent if there is a germ of C^r diffeomorphism H with a fixed point 0 for which the relation

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} v = w \circ H$$

holds. A germ of vector field v (resp. a diffeomorphism f) with a singular point (resp. a fixed point) at 0 is said to be *hyperbolic* if $v'(0) \neq 0$ (resp. $|f'(0)| \neq 1$).

Consider two germs of C^∞ (C^ω) vector fields

$$\dot{x} = a_1 x^n + O(x^{n+1}), \quad a_1 \neq 0 \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\dot{y} = a_2 y^m + O(y^{m+1}), \quad a_2 \neq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Our first main result in this paper is the following result on germs of vector fields.

Theorem 1 (i) *The germs (2.1) and (2.2) are C^1 equivalent if and only if*

$$n = m, \quad [\text{sgn } a_1]^n = [\text{sgn } a_2]^n. \quad (2.3)$$

(ii) *If (2.3) holds, then the germs (2.1) and (2.2) are C^{n-1} equivalent.*

(iii) *The germs (2.1) and (2.2) are C^n equivalent if and only if they are C^∞ (resp. C^ω) equivalent.*

Remark The C^∞ equivalent normal form of system (2.1) is

$$\dot{x} = \delta x^n (1 + ax^{n-1})^{-1},$$

where n , a and $\delta = (\pm 1)^n$ are invariants, see [4]. Moreover, different parameters n , a , δ give different germs with respect to C^∞ equivalence.

Next we consider the classification for nonhyperbolic germs of diffeomorphisms. Set

$$\text{ND}_n = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, 0) \mid f(x) = x + ax^n + o(x^n), \quad a \neq 0, \quad n \geq 2\},$$

$$\text{ND} = \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} \text{ND}_n.$$

The number n is called the *multiplier* of $f \in \text{ND}_n$ and is denoted by $n = m(f)$.

The following result is on orientation preserving germs of diffeomorphisms.

Theorem 2 (i) *$f, g \in \text{ND}$ are C^1 conjugate if and only if*

$$m(f) = m(g) = n, \quad [\text{sgn } f^{(n)}(0)]^n = [\text{sgn } g^{(n)}(0)]^n. \quad (2.4)$$

(ii) *If (2.4) holds, then f and g are C^{n-1} conjugate.*

(iii) *If (2.4) holds, then f and g are C^n conjugate if and only if they are C^∞ conjugate.*

The last result is on nonhyperbolic germs of orientation reversing diffeomorphisms. Consider the germs of C^∞ diffeomorphism

$$f(x) = -x + O(x^2). \quad (2.5)$$

According to normal form theory (see, for example, [1]), there exists a germ of C^∞ diffeomorphism $h = x + O(x^2)$ such that the Taylor series of the germ $g = h^{-1} \circ f \circ h$ contains the terms of odd order in x only. Denote by RD the set of the germs of C^∞ diffeomorphisms f satisfying

- (1) $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0) = -1$, $f^{(2n)}(0) = 0$, $\forall n \geq 1$;
- (2) There exists a positive integer m such that $f^{(2m+1)}(0) \neq 0$.

Set

$$\text{RD}_n = \{f \in \text{RD} \mid f(x) = -x + ax^{2n+1} + O(x^{2n+3}), \quad a \neq 0\},$$

then

$$\text{RD} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{RD}_n.$$

The number n is called the *multiplier* of $f \in \text{RD}_n$ and is denoted by $n = m(f)$.

Theorem 3 (i) $f, g \in \text{RD}$ are C^1 conjugate if and only if

$$m(f) = m(g) = n, \quad \text{sgn } f^{(2n+1)}(0) = \text{sgn } g^{(2n+1)}(0). \quad (2.6)$$

(ii) If (2.6) holds, then f and g are C^{2n} conjugate.

(iii) If (2.6) holds, then f and g are C^{2n+1} conjugate if and only if they are C^∞ conjugate.

Remark For the case of germs of diffeomorphisms, we could not consider the analytical conjugacy. The main reason is that an analytical local diffeomorphism may fail to have an analytical embedding vector field, while our Theorems 2 and 3 are proved using the embedding flow technique. See the next section.

3 Proof of Theorems

Lemma 4 If (2.1) and (2.2) are C^1 equivalent, then (2.3) holds.

Proof Let $y = h(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, 0)$ with $h'(0) = c \neq 0$ be the diffeomorphism which transforms (2.1) into (2.2). Substituting $y = h(x) = cx + o(x)$ into (2.2) we obtain

$$(c + o(1))(a_1x^n + o(x^n)) = a_2c^m x^m(1 + o(1))$$

or

$$a_1cx^n(1 + o(1)) = a_2c^m x^m(1 + o(1)).$$

This implies

$$n = m, \quad a_1c = a_2c^m, \quad (a_1a_2)^n = a_2^{2n}c^{(n-1)n} > 0.$$

□

Lemma 5 If (2.3) holds, then (2.1) and (2.2) are C^{n-1} equivalent.

Proof Assume that (2.3) holds. By normal form theory (see [4]), systems (2.1) and (2.2) are C^∞ equivalent to their normal forms respectively as follows

$$\dot{x} = \sigma x^n(1 + b_1x^{n-1})^{-1} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\dot{y} = \sigma y^n(1 + b_2y^{n-1})^{-1}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\sigma = [\text{sgn } a_1]^n = [\text{sgn } a_2]^n$. We put the system (3.1) and (3.2) together as a planar differential system:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{y^n(1 + b_2y^{n-1})^{-1}}{x^n(1 + b_1x^{n-1})^{-1}}. \quad (3.3)$$

We claim that all integral curves of (3.3) lying in the first or the third quadrant are tangent to the line $y = x$ with order $n - 1$ at the origin. Indeed, by integrating

(3.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} y(1 + (1-n)b_2y^{n-1}\log|y|)^{\frac{1}{1-n}} \\ &\quad - x(1 + (1-n)b_1x^{n-1}\log|x| + cx^{n-1})^{\frac{1}{1-n}} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where c is the integral constant. Define $f(0, 0) = 0$. Then f is of the class C^{n-1} . Applying the Implicit Function Theorem to f at the origin $(0, 0)$ we obtain from (3.4) a function of class C^{n-1}

$$y = h(x, c)$$

satisfying

$$h(0, c) = 0, \quad h'(0, c) = 1, \quad h^{(i)}(0, c) = 0, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

The C^{n-1} diffeomorphism h transforms (3.1) into (3.2). \square

Lemma 6 *If (3.1) and (3.2) are C^n equivalent, then $b_1 = b_2$.*

Proof Let $y = h(x)$ be a C^n diffeomorphism which transforms (3.1) into (3.2). Then $f(x, h(x)) = 0$, where f is the function defined by (3.4). Therefore, $h(0) = 0$, $h'(0) = 1$, $h^{(i)}(0) = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$. Substituting $y = h(x) = x + kx^n + o(x^n)$ into (3.3) we obtain

$$1 + knx^{n-1} + o(x^{n-1}) = (1 + kx^{n-1} + o(x^{n-1}))^n (1 + b_1x^{n-1}) (1 + b_2x^{n-1} + o(x^{n-1}))^{-1},$$

which implies $b_1 = b_2$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1 This is a corollary of Lemmas 4, 5 and 6. \square

Definition 7 A smooth flow $\{f^t\}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) is said to be an embedding flow of a diffeomorphism f if $f^1 = f$. The corresponding vector field, $v(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f^t(x)|_{t=0}$, is called an embedding vector field of f .

Lemma 8^[9] *The germ of C^∞ diffeomorphism*

$$f(x) = x + ax^n + o(x^n) \quad (a \neq 0)$$

can be embedded into a flow of the germ of a C^∞ vector field

$$\dot{x} = ax^n + o(x^n).$$

Lemma 9^[10] *Two germs of smooth diffeomorphisms in real line are C^k , $k \geq 1$, conjugate if and only if their embedding vector fields are C^k equivalent.*

Proof of Theorem 2 This is a corollary of Theorem 1, Lemmas 8 and 9. \square

Next we give the **the proof of Theorem 3**. Let $f = -x + ax^{2n+1} + O(x^{2n+3}) \in \text{RD}_n$ and let $h = cx$, $c = |a|^{1/2n}$. Then

$$h^{-1} \circ f \circ h = -x + \sigma x^{2n+1} + O(x^{2n+3}), \quad \sigma = \text{sgn } a.$$

Assume that $f \in \text{RD}_n$ has the following form

$$f = -x + \sigma x^{2n+1} + cx^{2m+1} + O(x^{2m+3}), \quad n < m < 2n.$$

Let $h = x + bx^{2m-2n+1}$, $b = \frac{\sigma c}{4n-2m}$, then

$$h^{-1} \circ f \circ h = -x + \sigma x^{2n+1} + O(x^{2m+3}).$$

Therefore, there exists a polynomial transformation $h = x + O(x^2)$ such that

$$h^{-1} \circ f \circ h = -x + \sigma x^{2n+1} + \lambda x^{4n+1} + O(x^{4n+3}).$$

Set

$$B_{n,\lambda}^\sigma = \{f \in \text{RD}^\infty \mid f = -x + \sigma x^{2n+1} + \lambda x^{4n+1} + O(x^{4n+3})\}.$$

Lemma 10 *Any two germs in $B_{n,\lambda}^\sigma$ are C^∞ conjugate.*

Proof First we note that all germs in $B_{n,\lambda}^\sigma$ are formally conjugate to each other. Therefore in order to prove the lemma it is sufficient to prove that the germs

$$g(x) = -x + \sigma x^{2n+1} + \lambda x^{4n+1}$$

and $f = g + \text{flatgerm}$ are C^∞ conjugate. Since $f \circ f = g \circ g + \text{flatgerm}$, by Takens Theorem^[9], there exists a germ of C^∞ diffeomorphism $h(x) = x + \text{flatgerm}$ such that $h \circ g \circ g = f \circ f \circ h$. Let

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} h(x), & \text{for } x \geq 0 \\ f \circ h \circ g^{-1}(x), & \text{for } x < 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

Then H is a C^∞ diffeomorphism with $H(0) = 0$, $H'(0) = 1$ and satisfies $H \circ g = f \circ H$. \square

Now we prove conclusion (iii) of Theorem 3. It is sufficient to prove that if (2.6) holds and f and g are C^{2n+1} conjugate, then they have the same normal form. Let

$$f = -x + \sigma x^{2n+1} + \lambda_1 x^{4n+1}, \quad g = -x + \sigma x^{2n+1} + \lambda_2 x^{4n+1}. \quad (3.6)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ f &= x - 2\sigma x^{2n+1} + (2n + 1 - 2\lambda_1)x^{4n+1} + O(x^{4n+3}) \\ g \circ g &= x - 2\sigma x^{2n+1} + (2n + 1 - 2\lambda_2)x^{4n+1} + O(x^{4n+3}). \end{aligned}$$

Since f and g are C^{2n+1} conjugate, then so are $f \circ f$ and $g \circ g$. By Theorem 1, they have the same normal form, which implies $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$.

The necessity of conclusion (i) of Theorem 3 is a corollary of conclusion (i) of Theorem 1.

The sufficiency of conclusion (i) is a corollary of conclusion (ii), for which we give the proof.

Assume now that (2.6) holds and f and g are given by (3.6). By Lemma 5 there exists a C^{2n} diffeomorphism $h(x) = x + O(x^2)$ with $h^{(i)}(0) = 0$, $2 \leq i \leq 2n$, such that $h \circ g \circ g = f \circ f \circ h$. Let H be the diffeomorphism given by (3.5). Then H is C^{2n} and satisfies $H \circ g = f \circ H$.

All conclusions of Theorem 3 are thus proved.

References

1. Arnold V. I. [1983] *Geometric Methods in Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations, Second Edition*, Springer-Verlag, New York.
2. de la Llave R. [1987] *Invariants for smooth conjugacy of hyperbolic dynamical systems II*, Commun. Math. Phys. **109**, 369–378.
3. de la Llave R., Moriyón R. [1988] *Invariants for smooth conjugacy of hyperbolic dynamical systems IV*, Commun. Math. Phys. **116**, 185–192.
4. Ilyashenko Yu. S., Yakovenko S. Yu. [1993] *Nonlinear Stokes phenomena in smooth classification problems*, Advances in Soviet Math. **14**, 235–287.

5. Jiang Y. [1993] *Geometry of geometrically finite one-dimensional maps*, Commun. Math. Phys. **156**, 639–647.
6. Jiang Y. [1995] *On Ulam-von Neumann transformations*, Commun. Math. Phys. **172**, 449–459.
7. Li W., Zhang M. [1997] *Rigidity result on conjugacies of families of diffeomorphisms*, Science China Ser. A **40**, 1036–1044.
8. Newhouse S., Palis J., Takens F. [1983] *Bifurcations and stability of families of diffeomorphisms*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **53**, 5–72.
9. Takens F. [1973] *Normal forms for certain singularities of vector fields*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **23**, 163–195.
10. Zhang M., Li W. [1997] *Embedding flows and smooth conjugacy*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B **18**, 125–138.