

# Smash Products of Quasi-hereditary Graded Algebras

Bin Zhu

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld , D-33501 Bielefeld;  
Department of Mathematics, Beijing Normal University, 100875  
Beijing P.R.China

**Abstract.** The Smash product of a finite dimensional quasi-hereditary algebra graded by a finite group with the group is proved to be a quasi-hereditary algebra. Some elementary relations between the good modules of the two quasi-hereditary algebras are given.

**Keywords.** Quasi-hereditary algebras, group graded algebras, smash products.

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** 16G10, 16G20, 16W30.

Quasi-hereditary algebras have been defined by Cline, Parshall, and Scott [2] in order to deal with highest weight categories as they arise in the representation theory of semisimple complex Lie-algebras. Many algebras which arise rather natural have been shown to be quasi-hereditary: the Schur algebras[9], the Auslander algebras[4], and the endomorphism algebra of direct sum of all indecomposable  $\Delta$ -good modules for any  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ -finite quasi-hereditary algebra[13] , and so on. In this note, we will prove that the smash product  $\Lambda \# G^*$  of a quasi-hereditary  $G$ -graded algebra  $\Lambda$  with  $G$  is a quasi-hereditary algebra.

Quasi-hereditary algebras depend heavily on the ordering of the simple modules. Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be an algebraically closed field. For a finite dimensional algebra  $\Lambda$  over  $\mathcal{K}$ , we fix an ordering on the simple  $\Lambda$ -modules:  $S(1), S(2), \dots, S(n)$ . Let  $P(i)$  be the projective cover of  $S(i)$ ,  $Q(i)$  the injective envelope of  $S(i)$ . We denote by  $\Delta(i)$  the maximal factor of  $P(i)$  with composition factors of the form  $S(j)$ , where  $j \leq i$ , and similarly, let  $\nabla(i)$  be the maximal submodule of  $Q(i)$  with composition factors of form  $S(j)$ , where  $j \leq i$ . Let  $\Delta = \{\Delta(1), \dots, \Delta(n)\}, \nabla = \{\nabla(1), \dots, \nabla(n)\}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$  the full subcategory of  $mod\Lambda$  consisting of modules which have a filtration with factors in  $\Sigma$ , where  $mod\Lambda$  denotes the category of f.g. left modules over  $\Lambda$

and  $\Sigma$  is a set of modules. This modules are said to be  $\Sigma$ -good. The algebra  $\Lambda$  is called quasi-hereditary with respect to the ordering of simple modules ( written as q.h. algebra for simplicity ) if  $End(\Delta(i))$  is a division ring, for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $P(i) \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  for all  $i$ . For a q.h. algebra,  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  has (relative) Auslander-Reiten sequences[10]. In case there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable  $\Lambda$ -modules which belong to  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ , we say that  $\Lambda$  is  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ -finite.

**Definition 1.** A  $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra  $\Lambda$  is called a  $G$ -graded algebra if  $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \Lambda_g$  (as  $\mathcal{K}$ -subspaces) and  $\Lambda_g \Lambda_h \subseteq \Lambda_{gh}$ , for all  $g, h \in G$ .

For a  $G$ -graded algebra, we can construct another  $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra, which is called the smash product of  $\Lambda$  with  $G$ (compare [1],[3],[7]) as follows: let

$$\Lambda \# G^* = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \Lambda p_g \text{ be the free left } \Lambda\text{-module on the generators } p_g, g \in G.$$

$G$ . Elements  $x p_g, y p_h$  multiply by

$$(x p_g)(y p_h) = x y_{gh^{-1}} p_h,$$

where  $x_g$  denotes the  $g$ -component of  $x$ .

With this multiplication, the free module is an associative  $\mathcal{K}$ - algebra.  $\Lambda \# G^*$  has no identity, but it has local identity in general. In case of  $G$  being finite ,  $\Lambda \# G^*$  has an identity. It was proved in [7] that  $\Lambda \# G^* \cong (\Lambda_{gh^{-1}})_{G \times G}$ , where  $(\Lambda_{gh^{-1}})_{G \times G}$ , denotes the ring consisting of functions from  $G \times G$  to  $\Lambda$  with only finitely many non-zero values, and such that  $f(g,h)$  must be in  $\Lambda_{gh^{-1}}$ .

Let  $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \Lambda_g$  be a  $G$ -graded algebra with identity 1 and with a complete set of orthogonal idempotents  $e_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ , and with  $e_i \in \Lambda_e$ . We denote the category of  $G$ -graded  $\Lambda$ -module by  $\Lambda\text{-Gr}$ , denote the full subcategory of  $\Lambda\text{-Gr}$  consisting of finite generated  $\Lambda$ -module by  $\Lambda\text{-gr}$ . There is an isomorphic functor  $F$  from  $\Lambda \# G^*\text{-Mod}$  to  $\Lambda\text{-Gr}$ , whose restriction on  $\Lambda \# G^*\text{-mod}$  is again an isomorphic functor from  $\Lambda \# G^*\text{-mod}$  to  $\Lambda\text{-gr}$ , which we denote also by  $F$  [7]. For a graded module  $M, M[g]$  denotes the suspension image of  $M$  [8]. A module  $M$  in  $\Lambda\text{-mod}$  is called gradable if there exists a graded module  $M_1$  such that  $M = M_1$  as  $\Lambda$ -modules. The following lemma was proved in [5] in the case  $\Lambda$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded, here we point out it is true for arbitrary group graded algebras.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a  $G$ -graded algebra. Then each simple  $\Lambda$ -module is gradable.

**Proof.** Let  $S(i)$  be simple  $\Lambda$ -module. Then  $S(i) = \frac{P(i)}{\text{rad}P(i)}$ . We know that  $P(i) = \Lambda e_i, e_i \in \Lambda_e$ , is gradable. Then if we prove that  $\text{rad} P(i)$  is gradable, this will imply that  $S(i)$  is gradable. We notice that

$$\text{rad}P(i) = \sum_{f \in \text{Hom}(P, P(i)), P \text{ is proj, } f \text{ is not epi}} \text{Im}(f).$$

For every homomorphism  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P, P(i))$ , we can write  $f$  as  $f = \sum_{g \in G} f_g$ ,

where  $f_g$  is a homomorphism of degree  $g$  from  $P$  to  $P(i)$  [8] (where  $P$  and  $P(i)$  are viewed as graded modules). Then

$$= \sum_{f \in \bigcup_{g \in G} \text{Hom}_{\Lambda-gr}(P[g], P(i)[e]), P \text{ is proj, } f \text{ is not epi}} \text{Im}(f)$$

Then  $\text{rad}P(i)$  is gradable. This finishes the proof.

Throughout this note, we assume that the group  $G$  is a finite group. Let  $S$  be the set of simple  $\Lambda$ -modules (we pick exactly only one from each isomorphism class), and we fix an ordering on  $S$  i.e.  $S = \{S(1), \dots, S(n)\}$ . We now define the simple  $\Lambda \# G^*$ -modules. For  $(g, \lambda) \in G \times S$ , let  $e_{(g, \lambda)}$  be the idempotent of  $\Lambda \# G^*$  whose  $(g, g)$ -entry is  $e_\lambda$ , the others are zero. Then the set  $\{e_{(g, \lambda)} \mid (g, \lambda) \in G \times S\}$  is a complete set of orthogonal primitive idempotents. For each primitive idempotent, we get a simple module. So we denote by  $G \times S$  the set of non-isomorphic simple  $\Lambda \# G^*$ -modules. By the lemma above, we have  $G \times S = \bigcup_{g \in G} \{S(g, 1), \dots, S(g, n)\}$ , where  $F(S(g, i)) = S(i)[g]$ , the suspension image of a graded module  $S(i)$ . We will define an ordering on this set. We first fix an ordering on set  $G$  (without any restriction). Then we define the ordering on  $G \times S$  as follows:  $(g, \lambda) \leq (h, \lambda_1)$  if and only if  $\lambda \leq \lambda_1$  or if  $\lambda = \lambda_1$ , but  $g \leq h$ .

**Theorem 2.** If  $(\Lambda, S)$  is a finite dimensional q.h.  $G$ -graded  $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra with identity, where  $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \Lambda_g$ , then  $(\Lambda \# G^*, G \times S)$  is a q.h. algebra.

**Proof.** Let  $P(g, \lambda) = \Lambda \# G^* e_{(g, \lambda)}$  be the indecomposable projective  $\Lambda \# G^*$ -module corresponding to the primitive idempotent  $e_{(g, \lambda)}$ . It is the projective cover of simple  $\Lambda \# G^*$ -module  $S(g, \lambda)$ . Let  $\Delta(g, \lambda)$  denote the maximal factor module of  $P(g, \lambda)$  with composition factors of form  $S(h, \delta)$ , where  $(h, \delta) \leq (g, \lambda)$ . Then  $\Delta(g, \lambda) = \frac{P(g, \lambda)}{U(g, \lambda)}$ , where

$$U(g, \lambda) = \sum_{f \in \bigcup_{(h, \delta) > (g, \lambda)} \text{Hom}(P(h, \delta), P(g, \lambda))} \text{Im}(f) = N_1 + N_2,$$

where

$$N_1 = \sum_{f \in \bigcup_{\delta > \lambda} \text{Hom}(P(h, \delta), P(g, \lambda))} \text{Im}(f), \quad N_2 = \sum_{f \in \bigcup_{(h, \lambda) > (g, \lambda)} \text{Hom}(P(h, \lambda), P(g, \lambda))} \text{Im}(f).$$

We know that  $\Delta(\lambda) = \frac{P(\lambda)}{U(\lambda)}$ , where  $U(\lambda) = \sum_{f \in \bigcup_{\delta > \lambda} \text{Hom}(P(\delta), P(\lambda))} \text{Im}(f)$ . It is proved in [8] that  $f = \sum_{g \in G} f_g$ , where  $f_g$  is a homomorphism of degree  $g$  from  $P(\delta)$  to  $P(\lambda)$  (where  $P(\delta)$  and  $P(\lambda)$  are viewed as graded modules). So we have the equality

$$\sum_{f \in \bigcup_{\delta > \lambda} \text{Hom}(P(\delta), P(\lambda))} \text{Im}(f) = \sum_{f \in \bigcup_{\delta > \lambda, h \in G} \text{Hom}_{\Lambda-gr}(P(\delta)[h], P(\lambda)[e])} \text{Im}(f).$$

Then  $U(\lambda)$  is a graded submodule of  $P(\lambda)[e]$ . Therefore  $\Delta(\lambda)$  is a gradable module. We will prove that  $N_1 \supseteq N_2$ .

We denote by  $\text{Im}(\text{Hom}_{\Lambda \# G^*}(P(g, k), P(h, k)))$  the sum of image of all homomorphisms from  $P(g, k)$  to  $P(h, k)$ . Then  $\text{Im}(\text{Hom}_{\Lambda \# G^*}((P(g, k), P(h, k))))$

is a submodule of  $P(h,k)$  generated by  $e_k \Lambda_{hg^{-1}} e_k$ . Then  $e_k \Lambda_{hg^{-1}} e_k = 0$  or  $e_k \Lambda_{hg^{-1}} e_k \subseteq \text{Im}(\text{Hom}_{\Lambda-gr}(\bigoplus_{j>k, g \in G} P(j)[g], P(k)[h]))$ . Otherwise we have that  $e_k \Lambda_{hg^{-1}} e_k \neq 0$ , and  $e_k \Lambda_{hg^{-1}} e_k \not\subseteq \text{Im}(\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\bigoplus_{j>k} P(j), P(k)))$ . This is because

$$\text{Im}(\text{Hom}_{\Lambda-gr}(\bigoplus_{j>k, g \in G} P(j)[g], P(k)[h])) = \text{Im}(\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\bigoplus_{j>k} P(j), P(k))).$$

So we get  $[\Delta(k) : S(k)] \geq 2$ , contradicting the fact that  $\Lambda$  is a q. h. algebra. Then  $F(\Delta(g, k)) = \Delta(k)[g]$ , and  $\text{End}_{\Lambda \# G^*}(\Delta(g, k)) \cong \text{End}_{\Lambda-gr}(\Delta(k)[g]) \cong \text{END}_{\Lambda}(\Delta(k))_e$ , the e-component of  $\text{END}_{\Lambda}(\Delta(k)) = \text{End}_{\Lambda}(\Delta(k))[8]$ , the later being a division ring, where  $\text{END}_{\Lambda}(\Delta(k)) = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \text{End}_{\Lambda}(\Delta(k))_g$ , and  $\text{End}_{\Lambda}(\Delta(k))_g$  is the additive subgroup of  $\text{End}_{\Lambda}(\Delta(k))$  consisting of homomorphisms of degree  $g$  from  $\Delta(k)$  to itself. So  $\text{End}_{\Lambda \# G^*}(\Delta(g, k))$  is a division ring. We can get a  $\mathcal{F}_{(\Lambda \# G^* \Delta)}$ -filtration of  $P(g,k)$  from the  $\mathcal{F}_{(\Lambda \Delta)}$ -filtration of  $P(k)$ . Therefore  $\Lambda \# G^*$  is a q.h. algebra with respect to the ordering  $G \times S$ . This finishes the proof.

$\alpha$

**Example.** Let  $\Lambda : 1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \\ \xleftarrow{\beta} \end{array} 2$ ,  $\alpha\beta = 0$ . Then  $\Lambda$  is a q.h.algebra with

respect to the natural ordering. Let  $\Lambda_{\bar{0}} = \mathcal{K}e_1 + \mathcal{K}e_2$ ,  $\Lambda_{\bar{1}} = \mathcal{K}\alpha + \mathcal{K}\beta$ ,  $\Lambda_{\bar{2}} = \mathcal{K}\beta\alpha$ . Then  $\Lambda$  is a  $Z_3$ -graded algebra. The smash product  $\Lambda \# Z_3^*$  is as following,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & 4 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & 2 \\ \beta_3 \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \alpha_2 \\ 6 & \xleftarrow{\alpha_3} & 3 & \xleftarrow{\beta_2} & 5 \end{array}, \quad \alpha_i \beta_i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

It is a q.h. algebra with respect to the natural ordering.

We have mentioned above that there is a functor  $F : \Lambda \# G^* - \text{mod} \rightarrow \Lambda - gr$ . In the following, we will give some relations between the two categories of  $\Delta$ -good modules of the two algebras. We will call that a G-graded algebra  $\Lambda$  is a G-graded algebra with property P if  $M[g] \not\cong M$  for each indecomposable module in  $\Lambda - \text{mod}$ , and  $g \neq e$ . For example all Z-graded algebras have property P, the algebra in the example above has also the property P.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a G-graded algebra with property P,  $M \in \Lambda - gr$ ,  $\bar{M} \in \Lambda \# G^* - \text{mod}$ , with  $F(\bar{M}) = M$ . Then M is indecomposable in  $\Lambda - \text{mod}$  if and only if  $\bar{M}$  is indecomposable in  $\Lambda \# G^* - \text{mod}$ .

**Proof.** The necessity is obvious. We prove the sufficiency.  $\text{End}_{\Lambda \# G^*} \bar{M} \cong \text{End}_{\Lambda-gr} M$  is the initial ring of locally G-graded ring  $\text{End}_{\Lambda} M$ . We prove that  $(\text{End}_{\Lambda} M)_g \cdot (\text{End}_{\Lambda} M)_{g^{-1}} \subseteq \text{rad}(\text{End}_{\Lambda-gr} M)$ , for all  $g \neq e$ . Otherwise, we

have  $f_i \in (End_{\Lambda}M)_g, h_i \in (End_{\Lambda}M)_{g^{-1}}$ , such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i h_i = 1$ . This implies that  $M \cong M[g]$  by Krull-Schmidt theorem and the fact that  $M$  is indecomposable, contradicting the property P. So we have that  $rad(End_{\Lambda}M) = rad(End_{\Lambda-gr}) + \sum_{g \neq e} (End_{\Lambda}M)_g$ , and  $End_{\Lambda}M$  is a local ring. This finishes the proof.

**Proposition 4.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a q.h.  $G$ -graded algebra. Then  $F(\mathcal{F}_{(\Lambda \# G^* \Delta)}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{(\Lambda \Delta)}$ . If  $M \in \Lambda - gr, M \in \mathcal{F}_{(\Lambda \Delta)}$ , then there is a module  $\bar{M} \in \mathcal{F}_{(\Lambda \# G^* \Delta)}$  such that  $F(\bar{M}) = M$ .

**Proof.** The first assertion follows from the fact that the  $\Delta$ -filtration of  $X \in \mathcal{F}_{(\Lambda \# G^* \Delta)}$  is preserved by  $F$  and yields a  $\Delta$ -filtration of  $F(X)$  in  $\text{mod-}\Lambda$ . So we prove the second assertion. Let  $M \in \Lambda - gr, M \in \mathcal{F}_{(\Lambda \Delta)}$ . Let  $f : P(i) \rightarrow M$  be a homomorphism. Then  $f = \sum_{\sigma} f_{\sigma}$ , where  $f_{\sigma}$  is a homomorphism of degree  $\sigma$ . Then  $Im(f) \subseteq \sum_{\sigma} Im(f_{\sigma})$ . So  $\sum_{f \in Hom(P(i), M)} Im(f) = \sum_{f \in \bigcup_{g \in G} Hom_{gr}(P(i)[g], M)} Im(f)$ . It follows that if  $M = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq M_n = 0$  is a  $\Lambda \Delta$ -filtration, hence all  $M_i$  are graded submodule of  $M$ . If we denote  $F^{-1}(M)$  by  $\bar{M}$ , then  $\bar{M} \in \mathcal{F}_{(\Lambda \# G^* \Delta)}$ . The proof is finished.

For a q.h. algebra, there is a (uniquely defined) basic module  $T$  which is direct sum of all non-isomorphic injective objects in  $\mathcal{F}_{(\Lambda \Delta)}$ . This module is called the characteristic module of  $\Lambda$ [10].

**Theorem 5** Let  $(\Lambda = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \Lambda_g, S)$  is a finite dimensional q.h.  $G$ -graded  $\mathcal{K}$ -algebra with property P. Then the characteristic modules  ${}_{\Lambda \# G^*}T = \bigoplus_{(i, g) \in S \times G} T(i, g)$ , such that  $F(T(i, g)) = F(T(i, e))[g]$ , and  $\bigoplus_{i \in S} F(T(i, e))$  is the characteristic module for  $\Lambda$ .

**Proof.** Let  ${}_{\Lambda \# G^*}T = \bigoplus_{(i, g) \in S \times G} T(i, g)$  be the characteristic module for  $\Lambda \# G^*$ . Then  ${}_{\Lambda \# G^*}T \in \mathcal{F}_{(\Lambda \# G^* \Delta)} \cap \mathcal{F}_{(\Lambda \# G^* \nabla)}$ . We have  $F(T(i, g)) \in \mathcal{F}_{(\Lambda \Delta)}$ , and  $F(T(i, g)) \in \mathcal{F}_{(\Lambda \nabla)}$  by duality. So  $\bigoplus_{i \in S} F(T(i, e))$  is a characteristic module for  $\Lambda$ , by proposition 4.

**Acknowledgment:** I would like to thank Prof. C. M. Ringel and Prof. Shaoxue Liu for help and encouragement, to thank Dr. B. M. Deng for discussion and suggestion, I also would like to thank the referee for his(her) useful remarks. Finally I am grateful to SFB-343 and Volkswagen Foundation for support.

## References

- [1] Beattie, M. *A generalization of the smash product of a graded ring*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 52. no.3 (1988), 219–226.
- [2] Cline, E.; Parshall, B. J. ; and Scott, L. L. *Finite dimensional algebras and highest weight categories*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 391 (1988), 85–99

- [3] Cohen, M.; Montgomery, S. *Group-graded rings, smash products, and group actions*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 282, no.1 (1984), 237–258.
- [4] Dlab, V.; Ringel, C. M. *Auslander algebras as quasi-hereditary algebras*. J. London Math. Soc. (2)39 (1989), 457–466.
- [5] Gordon, R.; Green, E. L. Representation theory of graded Artin algebras. J. Algebra 76 no.1 (1982), 138–152.
- [6] Green, E. L. *Graphs with relations, coverings and group-graded algebras*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 279 no.1 (1983), 297–310.
- [7] Liu, Shao Xue; Oystaeyen, Van. F. *Group graded rings, smash products and additive categories*. Perspectives in ring theory (Antwerp, 1987), 299–310, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C: Math. Phys. Sci., 233, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, (1988).
- [8] Năstăsescu, C.; Oystaeyen, Van. F. *Graded ring theory*. North-Holland Mathematical Library, 28. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1982.
- [9] Parshall, B. J. *Finite-dimensional algebras and algebraic groups. Classical groups and related topics* (Beijing, 1987), 97–114, Contemp. Math., 82, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence.
- [10] Ringel, C. M. *The category of modules with a good filtration over a quasi-hereditary algebra has almost split sequences*. Math. Z. 208 (1991), 209–223.
- [11] Ringel, C. M. *The category of good modules over a quasi-hereditary algebra*. Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Representations of Algebras (Ottawa, ON, 1992), 17 pp., Carleton-Ottawa Math. Lecture Note Ser., 14, Carleton Univ., Ottawa, ON, 1992.
- [12] Ringel, C. M. *Tame algebras and integral quadratic form*. LNM 1099, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1984
- [13] Xi, Chang Chang. *Endomorphism algebras of  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  over quasi-hereditary algebras*. J. Algebra 175, no.3 (1995), 966–978.